

indicating to a situation or phenomenon (*I'm from Missouri, you've got to show me*).

Popular expressions with the communicative meaning contain the association with the source or the author (*something is rotten in the state of Denmark*).

Thus, proper names is a special language category whose existence is predetermined by the need of identification, individualization of to a large extent similar objects of reality. The structure of this category is determined by the totality of onomastic categories, each of which serves a well-defined denotative sphere.

## REFERENCES

1. Toporov V. N. Some considerations in connection with the construction of theoretical toponomastics / V. N. Toporov // *Principles of toponymy*. - M.: Nauka, 2014 - 3-21 p.
2. Stupin L.P. On the lexical meaning of proper names / L. P. Stupin // *Questions of theory and history of language*. - L.: Publishing House of Leningrad State University, 2019. - 273 p.
3. Kunin A.V. *English-Russian phraseological dictionary*. – Ed. 4th, revised and expanded. - M.: Russian language, 2014. - 942 p. (ARFS)
4. Collins V.H. *A Book of English Idioms. With Explanations*. -London: Longman, 2018. XI.- 258 p.
5. Юсупов, О. (2019). Инглиз лексик дублетларининг лингвокультурологик таҳлили. *Иностранная филология: язык, литература, образование*, (3 (72)), 69-73.
6. Tukhtasinov, I., & Otabek, Y. (2022). Teaching a Foreign Language According to Age Groups. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 22(2), 238-246.
7. Jabbarovna, S. N. (2020). DISCURSIVE-PRAGMATIC AND LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF THE EVENT OF THE EVALUATION CATEGORY IN THE LINGUISTIC LANDSCAPE OF THE WORLD (IN THE MATERIAL IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES). *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(7), 8303-8312.
8. Sulaymanova, N. J. (2020). ABOUT DIVIDING SYNTACTIC SEMANTIC FEATURES OF COMPONENTS. In *НАУКА И ИННОВАЦИИ В XXI ВЕКЕ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ОТКРЫТИЯ И ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ* (pp. 124-128).
9. Yusupov, O. Y. (2021). The russification legacy of historical monuments of Uzbekistan. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S1), 1535-1539.
10. Сулайманова, Н. Ж. (2019). ЯЗЫКОВЫЕ БАРЬЕРЫ И МЕТОДЫ ИХ ПРЕОДОЛЕНИЯ В ПРОЦЕССЕ ОБУЧЕНИЯ ИНОСТРАННЫМ ЯЗЫКАМ. In *Россия-Узбекистан. Международные образовательные и социально-культурные технологии: векторы развития* (pp. 220-221).
11. Сулайманова, Н. Ж. (2016). СПОСОБЫ ВЫРАЖЕНИЯ ЛОКАТИВНОСТИ В СОВРЕМЕННОМ АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ. *Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире*, (9-3), 74-77.
12. Yusupov, O., Yoqubjonova, S., & Abduvokhidova, S. (2022). THE BENEFITS OF HAVING OVERALL 7.0 FROM IELTS IN DIFFERENT AREAS OF LIFE. *Eurasian Journal of Academic Research*, 2(5), 213-215.

## LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS OF KINSHIP TERMS

**Qoraboyeva Maxfuza Komiljonovna**  
**SamSIFL, Master degree**

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to relate formal assessment of kinship terminologies to a higher know-how of who, culturally, are defined as our family. The way in which a kinship terminology constitutes a primarily based totally photo gadget is illustrated with every the American/English and the Shipibo Indian (Peru) kinship terminologies Each of these terminologies can be generated from primitive (or atomic) symbols using certain equations that offer the form its form and in which the form is constrained to meet homes hypothesized to distinguish kinship terminology structures from extraordinary photo structures.

**Key words:** kinship terms, linguistics analysis, terminology, kinship's culture.

Kinship is generally taken into consideration as based mostly on own circle of relatives tree. One's spouse and children, in effect, are people with whom one has a genealogical connection. This belief of kinship as being based totally mostly on own circle of relatives tree become made particular by W. H. R. Rivers who defined "kinship ... as courting it is determined, and can be defined, with the useful resource of genealogies" [1924, 53]. More recently, Scheffler and Lounsbury used the equal idea in their observation

that proper right here the distributional requirements are genealogical and egocentric, we communicate of own circle of relatives participants of kinship” and refer to “[r]elations of genealogical connection” as “kinship proper ...” (1971, 38, 39), thereby making central, in their view, the placement of genealogical connections as the basis of kinship own circle of relatives participants. Their view echoes that of Fortes who moreover observed the vital issue of kinship to be genealogical connections due to the fact that these “are universally implemented in building up kinship own circle of relatives participants and categories” (1969, 52). Rivers had earlier noted a similar courting amongst spouse and children terms and own circle of relatives tree at the same time as he rejected the idea that kinship is probably defined through loved ones terms because, he asserted, “terms of courting . . . are determined by way of genealogical courting...” (1924: 53). It is but a short step from this statement about the centrality of genealogical connections to considering loved ones terms as a manner to categorize genealogically determined relationships as a end result to claims that the primary meanings of family members terms are genealogical.

Obviously “kinship” did now not get up for the Yapeese quality after they modified their view of idea. It might be argued, though, that at some degree the Yapeese should continuously were privy to the relationship amongst coitus and pregnancy as Helmig (1998) has suggested. If so, what the Yapeese meant through manner of way of the irrelevance of coitus won't be with regards to a “theory” about idea, in trendy, but about what is needed to make a Yapeese in place of in truth a member of the species *Homo sapiens*; that is, coitus isn't always what makes a fetus and newborn “Yapeese,” but rather it is “the doing of the male and the doing of the mailing and the active intervention of the thuggish . . . and the general overall performance of the woman as an awesome female...” Kinship terms are terms applied in a speech community to emerge as aware about relationships amongst humans in a own circle of relatives (or a kinship unit). This is also called kinship terminology.

A magnificence of parents related via kinship in a specific language or culture is called a kinship machine.

Some of the clearest examples of lexicalized instructions are terms used to seek advice from oldsters which are people of the same personal own family, or kinship terms. All languages have kinship terms (e.g. brother, mother, grandmother), but they do now no longer all located personal own family members into lessons within side the equal way. In some languages, the identical of the word father is used now not simplest for 'male parent,' but moreover for 'male parent's brother.' In English, we use the word uncle for this unique form of individual. We have lexicalized the distinction the various 2 concepts. Yet we moreover use the same word (uncle) for 'female parent's brother.' That distinction is not lexicalized in English, but it's far in exceptional languages.”

#### Kinship Terms in Sociolinguistics

"One of the sights that kinship structures have for investigators is that those elements are pretty easily ascertainable. You can, therefore, relate them with great self belief to the real phrases that human beings use to explain a specific relatives relationship.

"There can be sure difficulties, of course. You can ask a selected character what she or he calls others who've recognized relationships to that character, for example, that individual's father (Fa), or mother's brother (MoBr), or mother's sister's husband (MoSiHu), in an try to expose how people hire diverse terms, however without seeking to specify whatever regarding the semantic composition of these terms: for example, in English, each your father's father (FaFa) and your mother's father (MoFa) are known as grandfather, however that time period consists of any other time period, father. You will find, too, in English that your brother's wife's father (BrWiFa) can't be mentioned directly; brother's wife's father (or sister-in-law's father) is a circumlocution as opposed to the form of time period this is of hobby in kinship terminology."

An isomorphism exists in kinship translations. The fundamental motive of partial translation of kinship terminology may be related to versions in material culture, social culture, ideational culture, ecological region and language structures among certainly considered one among a type language speakers. Most of these elements have an impact on kinship terminology immediately such that some of the sociological mind in a unmarried language can not be imposed on each different. To clear up this problem, there is a need to use a unbiased language for translating kinship terminology. Translational formulae for kinship terminology are derived from a met language that is believed to be independent at some point of languages and cultures. In this sense, numerous of factors ought to be considered in a kinship relation. First, the person of any kinship relation varies in scale or quantity from one relation to some other. In a universe of a kinship relation, the man or woman in which people relate to every different is undefined. In a in addition related case, person and range will determine the dimensions of any relation. This technique that any kinship relation consists of factors with one thing having each similar or one-of-a-type kinship styles of referring to the special. In most cases, people speak with every different with one now no longer un usual place kinship

term, especially, within side the occasion that they have got the same generation and distance. The shape of sex of humans in a relation moreover determines forms of family members terms to simply accept via each different relatives. Gender in the ones instances will determine kinship terminologies for ladies or men. Terms related to patrilineal or matrilineal linkages are some of the few examples that explain how sex affects kinship terms. Interpretation of these terms with reference to gender differs from one language to another. Relatedness is based totally mostly on every blood and non-blood bonds. Blood circle of relatives rise up as a cease end result of (series of) kinship chain(s) amongst genitor and the genetic product, giving upward push to consanguine partner and children. Affinity is also a few different factor which influences kinship terminology. This is because of the truth marriage ties supply rise to non-blood cherished ones like in-felony recommendations and step own family among others. Kinship met language is based definitely on the principle of universality that lacks in most translations of kinship terminology. Elements of kinship terms are decided on carefully in the ones cases, to ensure that any interpretation of any kinship term is based mostly on a unbiased language. This lets in to ensure that additives of one language are not transferred to another language. Five languages were decided on: English, Kiswahili, Luganda, Lubukusu and Ateso for the cause of attempting out and verification of the kinship met language. This has been accomplished thru reputе quo of a device for not unusual kinship implications that gives famous elements of kinship practices. I actually have moreover tested the language-particular kinship terminology, which gives steering on several paperwork in which particular kinship terms are interpreted in one in every of a type languages. Finally, specific paperwork in which every kinship term can be interpreted for each of the languages have been hooked up to provide related meanings that partner with the ones terms. It has been installation in this research that every language has its non-public way in which each and every kinship term can be interpreted. In some instances, the interpretations of some kinship terms are shared among a few languages. In distinctive instances one kinship term also can moreover supply several or fewer same kinship terms in a few different language. The fundamental reason for this difference has been established in this have a take a study as inequality in cultural practices among excellent languages

Support to essential guardians (SGs) and kinship carer is really an undeveloped location in terms of the records of need, issuer provision and workout. Developing a tool including this based mostly on fine cutting-edge workout is therefore a tough assignment and has, in some areas, been greater about spotlighting the gaps and inadequacies in services as a excellent deal as highlighting strengths. The difference in method amongst numerous close by authorities provoked one final question. Why have some services emerge as masses more properly advanced than others? Two managers were in brief interviewed, representing of the greater advanced services, to examine this question.

Whilst every managers felt that massive improvement have been made, every have been moreover very aware that that they had further to go and further artwork to do.

Neither service may say that extrade and improvement had been driven thru senior manage, or thru an identified strategic need to resource SG and kinship carers. However, every had been a achievement in securing the approval of senior manage to boom this place of exertions and observed this as essential to improvement.

## REFERENCES

1. Bourdieu, P. (1990). *The Logic of Practice*. R. Nice, trans. Stanford University Press: Stanford.
2. Buchler, I., and Selby, H. (1969). *Kinship and Social Organization: An Introduction to Theory and Method*. New York: The Macmillan Company.
3. Rivers, W. H., R. (1924). *Social Organization*. London: W. J. Perry
4. Strehlow, T. G., H. (1971). *Song of Central Australia*. Sydney: Angus and Robertson
5. Юсупов, О. (2019). Инглиз лексик дублетларининг лингвокультурологик таҳлили. *Иностранная филология: язык, литература, образование*, (3 (72)), 69-73.
6. Tukhtasinov, I., & Otabek, Y. (2022). Teaching a Foreign Language According to Age Groups. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 22(2), 238-246.
7. Jabbarovna, S. N. (2020). DISCURSIVE-PRAGMATIC AND LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF THE EVENT OF THE EVALUATION CATEGORY IN THE LINGUISTIC LANDSCAPE OF THE WORLD (IN THE MATERIAL IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES). *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(7), 8303-8312.
8. Sulaymanova, N. J. (2020). ABOUT DIVIDING SYNTACTIC SEMANTIC FEATURES OF COMPONENTS. In *НАУКА И ИННОВАЦИИ В XXI ВЕКЕ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ОТКРЫТИЯ И ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ* (pp. 124-128).
9. Yusupov, O. Y. (2021). The russification legacy of historical monuments of Uzbekistan. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S1), 1535-1539.
10. Сулайманова, Н. Ж. (2019). ЯЗЫКОВЫЕ БАРЬЕРЫ И МЕТОДЫ ИХ ПРЕОДОЛЕНИЯ В