VOLUME 05 ISSUE 01 Pages: 5-9

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.714) (2022: 6.013) (2023: 7.266)

OCLC - 1242041055











Publisher: Master Journals



Journal Website: https://masterjournals. com/index.php/crjp

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.



Research Article

PEER-LED LEARNING IN MEDICAL EDUCATION: NAVIGATING BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES FOR NURTURING EXPERTISE

Submission Date: December 23, 2023, Accepted Date: December 28, 2023,

Published Date: January 02, 2024

Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/pedagogics-crjp-05-01-02

Adefolalu Sukrajh

Practice of Medicine (POME) Unit, School of Medicine, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, South Africa

Moroni Markham

Practice of Medicine (POME) Unit, School of Medicine, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, **South Africa**

ABSTRACT

This study delves into the realm of peer-led learning in medical education, aiming to navigate the intricacies of its implementation by exploring both the benefits and challenges associated with this pedagogical approach. Through a comprehensive examination of existing literature, empirical studies, and firsthand experiences, the research sheds light on how peer teaching nurtures expertise among medical students. The findings underscore the multifaceted advantages, such as enhanced collaboration and communication skills, as well as the potential hurdles, including issues of peer acceptance and instructional consistency. By offering insights into the dynamics of peer-led learning, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on effective teaching methodologies in medical education.

KEYWORDS

Peer-led learning, medical education, pedagogy, expertise, collaborative learning, peer teaching, benefits, challenges, communication skills, instructional consistency.

INTRODUCTION

Medical education has witnessed a paradigm shift in recent years, moving beyond traditional didactic approaches toward more interactive and learnercentric methodologies. One notable pedagogical strategy gaining prominence is peer-led learning,

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 01 Pages: 5-9

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.714) (2022: 6.013) (2023: 7.266)

OCLC - 1242041055











Publisher: Master Journals

where students actively engage in teaching and learning from their peers. This approach recognizes the invaluable role that peers play in the education process, tapping into the unique dynamics of shared experiences and collaborative learning. In the context of medical education, the exploration of peer-led learning becomes particularly relevant as it holds the potential to not only enhance academic performance but also foster the development of crucial skills and expertise among future healthcare professionals.

Peer-led learning in medical education involves students taking on instructional roles, disseminating knowledge, and facilitating discussions among their peers. This shift from a traditional instructor-centered model to a more collaborative and interactive approach aligns with the principles of adult learning theory and acknowledges the importance of peer support in the development of clinical competence and professional identity.

As we delve into the nuances of peer-led learning in medical education, it becomes imperative to navigate the landscape of benefits and challenges associated with its implementation. **Unders**tanding advantages, such as improved communication skills, enhanced critical thinking, and increased engagement, can illuminate the potential transformative impact of peer-led learning on the education and future practice of medical students. Simultaneously, acknowledging the challenges, such as peer acceptance, potential knowledge gaps, and the need for consistent instructional quality, is crucial for developing strategies that maximize the efficacy of this pedagogical approach.

This exploration aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics surrounding peer-led learning in medical education, offering insights into its potential benefits and challenges. By navigating this

terrain, educators and stakeholders can make informed decisions about integrating peer-led learning into curricula, ultimately contributing to the cultivation of expertise and the holistic development of medical professionals.

METHOD

The process of investigating peer-led learning in medical education and navigating its associated benefits and challenges involves a systematic and multifaceted approach. The initial phase of the process centers on an extensive literature review, drawing from reputable databases and scholarly sources to comprehensively explore existing research, theoretical frameworks, and trends in the field. This literature review provides a foundational understanding of the overarching principles and potential advantages and obstacles associated with peer-led learning.

Following the literature review, the methodology <u>incorporates</u> empirical studies introduce to quantitative insights into the investigation. Surveys structured interviews are designed administered to medical students who have firsthand experience with peer-led learning. The data collected from these empirical studies contribute quantitative metrics on the perceived benefits and challenges, shedding light on the impact of peer-led learning on academic performance and skill development.

Complementing the quantitative dimension, a qualitative analysis of experiences is undertaken through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions. Engaging with both peer instructors and learners, this qualitative phase aims to capture the lived experiences, perceptions, and nuanced within peer-led challenges faced learning environments. Thematic analysis is applied to distill key patterns and insights, providing a rich qualitative understanding of the dynamics involved.

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 01 Pages: 5-9

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.714) (2022: 6.013) (2023: 7.266)

OCLC - 1242041055











Publisher: Master Journals

The synthesis and comparative analysis phase amalgamate findings from the literature review, empirical studies, and qualitative analysis. This synthesis enables a holistic overview, identifying commonalities, contradictions, and emerging themes. The comparative analysis facilitates a nuanced understanding of the intricacies surrounding peer-led learning, elucidating the multifaceted nature of its benefits and challenges.

The final step involves drawing evidence-based conclusions and recommendations based on the synthesized data. This process ensures that the exploration of peer-led learning in medical education beyond a descriptive account, offering meaningful insights that can inform educators, policymakers, and stakeholders. By navigating the benefits and challenges of peer-led learning, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing enhancement of medical education methodologies and the nurturing of expertise among future healthcare professionals.

Study Design:

The methodology employed in this exploration of peerled learning in medical education integrates a comprehensive literature review, empirical studies, and a qualitative analysis of firsthand experiences. The primary objective is to gather a diverse range of perspectives and insights into the benefits and challenges associated with implementing peer-led learning in medical educational settings.

Literature Review:

A thorough literature review serves as the foundation of this study, encompassing research articles, scholarly reviews, and theoretical frameworks related to peerled learning in medical education. The search strategy involves databases such as PubMed, MEDLINE, and Education Resources Information Center (ERIC), with

keywords including "peer-led learning," "medical education," "benefits," and "challenges." literature review synthesizes existing knowledge on the subject, highlighting key themes, emerging trends, and gaps in the current understanding of peer-led learning.

Empirical Studies:

Incorporating empirical studies provides a quantitative dimension to the examination of peer-led learning. Surveys and structured interviews are conducted among medical students who have participated in or experienced peer-led learning environments. The objective is to gather data on perceived benefits, challenges, and the overall impact of peer-led learning on academic performance and skill development. Sampling strategies ensure a diverse representation of medical students across different levels of training and specialties.

Qualitative Analysis of Experiences:

To capture the nuanced aspects of peer-led learning, qualitative analysis is conducted through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with both peer instructors and peer learners. This qualitative component aims to explore the lived experiences, perceptions, and challenges faced by individuals engaged in peer-led learning within medical education. Thematic analysis is applied to identify patterns, recurring themes, and unique insights that may not be quantifiable but are crucial for understanding the complex dynamics of peer-led learning.

Synthesis and Comparative Analysis:

The data collected from the literature review, empirical studies, and qualitative analysis are synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the benefits and challenges associated with peer-led learning in medical education. A comparative analysis is employed to

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 01 Pages: 5-9

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.714) (2022: 6.013) (2023: 7.266)

OCLC - 1242041055











Publisher: Master Journals

juxtapose findings from different sources, identifying commonalities, contradictions, and areas convergence. This synthesis contributes to a holistic understanding of the dynamics surrounding peer-led learning, facilitating the development of evidencebased recommendations and strategies for its effective implementation in medical education.

RESULTS

The investigation into peer-led learning in medical education yielded multifaceted results, drawing from a comprehensive literature review, empirical studies, and qualitative analyses. Quantitative data from surveys and structured interviews underscored several perceived benefits, including heightened academic performance, improved communication skills, and enhanced critical thinking among medical students engaged in peer-led learning. The empirical findings also shed light on challenges, such as variations in instructional quality, potential knowledge gaps among peer instructors, and issues related to peer acceptance within learning environments.

Qualitative insights obtained through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions provided a nuanced understanding of the lived experiences of both peer instructors and learners. Themes emerged, emphasizing the positive impact of peer-led learning on fostering a sense of community and collaborative learning. Challenges surfaced, such as concerns regarding the acceptance of peer instructors as authoritative sources and the need for ongoing support structures to address potential gaps in knowledge.

DISCUSSION

The discussion phase delves into the intricacies surrounding the benefits and challenges identified in the results. It explores the interplay between collaborative learning, knowledge transfer, and the

development of essential skills within the context of medical education. The discourse navigates through the observed variations in instructional quality, emphasizing the importance of standardized training for peer instructors to ensure consistency in content delivery.

Challenges related to peer acceptance and the potential for knowledge gaps among peer instructors prompt a deeper exploration of the socio-cultural dynamics within peer-led learning environments. The discussion delves into strategies for fostering an inclusive learning culture, addressing concerns about peer acceptance, and establishing support mechanisms to bolster the effectiveness of peer-led initiatives.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the dynamics of peer-led learning in medical education. The benefits, including enhanced academic performance and the development of critical skills, underscore the potential transformative impact of this pedagogical approach. Concurrently, the challenges identified highlight the need for strategic interventions to address issues of instructional quality, peer acceptance, and knowledge gaps among peer instructors.

The findings collectively contribute to the ongoing discourse on effective teaching methodologies in medical education. The synthesis of results and the ensuing discussion pave the way for evidence-based recommendations for educators, institutions, and policymakers. By acknowledging both the merits and challenges, this research aims to inform the cultivation of expertise and the holistic development of medical professionals through the thoughtful integration of peer-led learning approaches.

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 01 Pages: 5-9

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.714) (2022: 6.013) (2023: 7.266)

OCLC - 1242041055











Publisher: Master Journals

REFERENCES

- DE. Karakitsiou, A. Markou, P. Kyriakou, M. Pieri, M. Abuaita E. Bourgousis, T. Hido, A. Tsatsaragkou, A. Boukali, C. de Burbureand IDK. Dimoliatis. The good student is more than a listener: The 12+1 roles of the medical student. Medical Teacher, vol. 34, pp. 1-8, 2012.
- 2. RB. Bagg, and J. Tagg. From learning to teaching –A new paradigm for undergraduate education. Change, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 12-25, 1995.
- 3. CC.Bonwell, and JA. Elison. Active learning: creating excitement in the classroom. George Washington University. Ashe-Eric Higher Education Report 1, Washington DC, 1991.
- 4. [W. Chickering, and ZF. Gamson. Seven principles for good practice. American Association for Higher Education (AAHE) Bulletin, vol. 39, pp. 3-7.1987.
- Flexner. Medical Education in the United States and Canada, Bulletin Number Four. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, New York, 1910.
- 6. DCM. Taylor, and H.Hamdy. Adult learning theories: Implications for learning and teaching in medical education: AMEE Guide No 83. Medical Teacher, vol. 35, pp. e156-e1572, 2013.
- 7. RS. Grabinger, and JC. Dunlap. Rich environments for active learning: a definition. Association for Learning Technology Journal, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 5-34, 1995.
- **8.** 8. J, Frenk, L. Chen, ZA. Bhutta, J. Cohen, N. Crisp, T. Evans, H. Fineberg, P. Garcia, Y. Ke, P. Kelley, B. Kistnasamy, A. Meleis, D. Naylor, A. Pablos-Mendez, S. Reddy, S. Scrimshaw, J. Sepulveda, D. Serwadda, and H. Zurayk. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. The Lancet, vol. 376, no. 9756, pp. 1923-1958, 2010.

- 9. O. Ten Cate, and S. Durning. Dimensions and psychology of peer teaching in medical education. Medical Teacher, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 546-552, 2007.
- 10. KJ. Topping. The effectiveness of peer tutoring in further and higher education: A typology and review of the literature. Higher Education, vol. 32, pp. 321-345, 1996.
- 11. Graffam. Active learning in medical education: Strategies for beginning implementation. Medical Teacher, vol. 29, pp. 38-42, 2007.
- 12. Boud, R. Cohen, and J. Sampson. Peer learning in higher education: learning from and with each other. Kogan Page, UK, 2001.

Volume 05 Issue 01-2024

9