

TEXT UNDERSTANDING PROCESS AND ITS PSYCHOLINGUISTIC FACTORS

Alimova Kamola Kho'jageldivna,

Independent researcher
Chief of Monitoring department at General Scientific and Methodic Center

Key words: Solidarity, intellect, decoding, logic, text, meaning, linguistcs, factor, memory, speech, contemplation, communication.

In psycholinguistics three groups of factors are differentiated and they affect to the process of perception and understanding the text. They are likely to be as the follows:

- a) peculiarities of a person who participates in the process as an object of perception and understanding the text.;
- b) text parameters and the realities which reflect in it.;
- c) the cases in which the process of perception and understanding happens.

We define them below shortly:

1. Person factors. Person receives information and works it out as a complete system which has individual, psychophysiological and social peculiarities (variety of psychic processes, directness, character peculiarities, social-political and ideological peculiarities and etc.).

Perception arrangement is one of the important mechanisms that are available between the text and the reader. This refers to the preparation connected with fundamental components of human mind and listener's position relative to information.

Arrangement indicates the character of mind depending on the meaning. That's why predisposition factors have significance in the first stages of perception.

The first stage of the arrangements – are the searching operations, the source of information for text is chosen and considered particularly according to recepient's life positions, traditions and tensions hereby. In this stage primary estimation of information is done which is achieved in perception of the work and the main subject of the perception is determined relatively.

The second stage – is a process of perception. When the recipient starts contact directly with information, perception

arrangements of the recipient choose the information (the phenomenon of perception selectability), their significance level for recipient is defined and then important information is sent to save (the phenomenon of memory selectability).

At the end of contact with text, that is, after the recipient finishes reading text, all acts are not ov er, but the arrangements note postposition acts. In other words, the third stage of perception starts. The next impact of the first perception is determined here, information is processed, transferred into mind system and undergoes changes under the influence of the matter that is being perceived in this or that level.

The great attention to text perception is connected with its significance for recipient. This case is explained in two ways: firstly, the more important is the text for recipient, the more it earns attention. Secondly, if there is much attention for the text, all ins and outs of the transferred information is perceived (analytical effect), more impression is made (sensory effect) and the perception is easier and more clear (intensified effect).

The activity approach of individual, social status (occupation) social-cultural approach and psychological features of person affect to the processes of text perception and understanding.

We study them individually below.

Activity approach. While we are studying the characteristics of person as a subject of perception and understanding, firstly we pay attention to his activity character and his relation or dependence with this activity. Because the perception and understanding of reality can be possible only in the context intended the activity and it is connected with clear and complex intensions



or goals set by person. The more goals are getting complex, the more interrelations and the deeper significance of phenomena are regarded. In result, the text is understood deeper.

Social approach. This status refers to perceiving and understanding the texts of mass media. The impact of social features of human on the process of information processing is explained that the content of each perceivable information is defined by group interests and information prism. So, the existence of communicative process and the content of transferred information depend on the character of social system in which the communication is being held.

It is confirmed in many researches that information selection and definition are done depending on that what person expects from these signals, that is, on expectations. These expectations in their turn transfer understating of information into another way. That's why even one person takes part in the process of receiving information from the approach's point of view, the receiver of the information is not one person, but a whole audience. What is audience? Audience is a group people who perceive information, it affects to the process of understanding information from the point of view of how this information is important for others. The audience marks the importance and priority of information. Because there is a rule that even a single person accepts information as an important fact which the audience (majority) also accept it important.

Socio-cultural approach. As it is known to all that the perception and understanding of information are performed by a person on the basis of habits, norms, general and special skills which are available in his or her mind. We may imagine the mind of the person under the process of perceiving and understanding text as a filter. With the help of this filter some information is transferred wholly, but some is changed, while others are dropped. Hereby the mind of person is regarded as a unit of three sides at the same time: firstly, the recipient of the information is alive and is acting (a specific

world of reality); secondly, is a collection of socio-cultural samples, that is, traditional or custom samples (ideological, ethical, aesthetical and others) (culture world); thirdly, is a knowledge collection, with which a person can realize the events occurring around (the world of knowledge). Each of these worlds is used as a specific filter of understanding information and programmes a separate demand for text.

Psychological approach. Individual neurophysiological configuration of brain structure which is responsible for information processing affects to the process of text perception. As was studied in the investigations that four points are responsible for developing and processing of information person's brain. Thev are located symmetrically in the right and parencephalons of the brain: left upper part is for simple logical constructions, left forehead part is for complex logical constructions, right upper part is for simple emotional and feeling impressions, right forehead part is responsible complex emotional and sensitive impressions.

Due to this or that reason different parts of brain cortex are developed differently. It means that a person receives the information by selecting it.

Understanding is not only connected with intellectual perfection, but also emotional perfection too. It can be said that the work of thought allows to emotional enjoyment in person. Besides it, level of emotional connection of person wholly involves the process of understanding.

According to their positions the people are different. They may understand the same information differently. One object can be accepted differently by different people. Therefore, the recipient may not comprehend the meaning intended in the text as was expected by the person who transfers the information. However, the person conveying the information cannot model the perception and understanding of recipient in advance.

We define the term "text" by considering several approaches and methods on the study of this phenomenon. Nowadays the concept text is regarded as one of the



concepts which involve various scientific fields, such as linguistics and others.

Linguists particularly draw their attention to functional-communicative peculiarities of the language and consider the text as one of the language defining means. In fact, the concept text is firstly explained by the linguistic point of view, that is, by the text grammar, stylistics, syntax and text linguistics.

However, it should be emphasized that particularly in linguistics the concept "text" has not got exact definition. If we assume it as a language related category then it is likely to be defined unilaterally.

Since the concept of text has multilateral peculiarities, considering of the text as "continuation of sentences" does not unfold its main point. In fact the text based on material structure and it requires paying attention into certain extralinguistic points, of interpersonal the participants communications. Additionally, in this case the meaning component of the text is not to be disregarded. In short the text does not contain of sentences, but is performed through and applied into practice. sentences Furthermore, the meaning of the text is noted by the aim and motive for its formation.

If we notice the multilateral feature of the text, then we may presume the possibility of its plenty definitions. According to the definitions given to the text we can see its different peculiarities. The text can be defined as informative space, speech work, symbol continuation and others.

For example, in semiology the text is referred to comprehensive continuation of any symbols, any type of communication (contact), traditions, dances, rituals and so on. In philology, especially, in linguistics the text is explained as continuous symbols made from words (verbal). Because the text carries certain message and that's why it is communicative means. Therefore, the text is noted as a unit of contact.

The word "text" was derived from Latin word "textus" which means composition, unit and combination. That's why for comprehending main point of the text it is important to know what is being combined or

why and how it is added to the content of the text. In any case the text consists of the sequence of symbols connected with each other by the meaning. The main point of this sequence reflects in the relation and integrity of these symbols.

This kind of sequence of symbols is an essential communication unit and it encompasses completed meaning, structure and ending. For example, a literary work has an accomplished structure and ending by the point of meaning view. In general, integrity is not a combination of the parts, but is a functional structure. And in this case integrity parts have their particular significance and function.

Although the text categories different by the meaning, they have content, and structural. formative, functional. connection forms, and they are not combined at once together, but they complete one another, they form more integral structure than a combination of parts. The features of the above-mentioned text such as connectivity and integrity are significant for convenience, but they are really integrated and they complete or require one another in one text. Text message is carried mainly by the help of language means. Therefore, a connection in the language is an indication of meaning integrity at the same time.

Text can be of two types: written and oral according to its rearrangement form. Both types should be directed to external connectivity, internal expressiveness and mind.

The matter of text similarity is important in the theory of text. Study of text is called textualism in philology science. Linguistics studies the intonation, lexical and syntactical means of the text, graphical means of emphasis, letter setting off and punctuation.

The term "text" is closely connected with its logical perception. Proper perception of text is supported not only by language and its means, but by the general fund of the knowledge, that is, by the connectivity background. Especially with this connectivity background the text is formed and then it is decoded, that is, perceived. That's why the



perception is linked with the concept of presupposition (background knowledge). The term presupposition was derived from Latin word, which means "assuming in advance".

Presupposition is an unexpressed meaning of the text by the word and an additional knowledge which allows perceiving the text adequately. This kind of additional knowledge is often regarded as background knowledge. Presupposition may occur in the process of reading the text as a result of the knowledge and experience of the person who forms the text.

Background knowledge is an outcome of culture and reality acquired by the speaker and listener, or writer and learner [4].

The text is a knowledge noted as a product of oral-thoughtful activity of the author and a material of oral-thoughtful activity of interpreter (learner, listener). This knowledge is divided into the word-expressed knowledge and additional background knowledge (unexpressed with word). In the text the symbols combination of various difficulty and size are placed in one line [1]. And this consists of material structure formed from speech elements. However, this material structure involves immateriality, that is, the sense (knowledge, event). It is obvious that knowledge is not always completely by the help of language means.

The author usually forms the text with the words depending on the knowledge of listener or learner [5]. In their turn the listener and the learner perceive the text basing on their knowledge.

Although the sender and receiver of information have a particular quantity of concordant knowledge (background knowledge), the information is always separated into official parts, but in practice it is likely to be complete.

In order to define the text in normal case it is required to use optimal way of verbal (with words) and non-verbal (without words, with gestures) imaginations of the information. Deviation from this norm causes two cases, that is, hyperverbalization (using much more words than the norm) and hypoverbalization (using much more gestures than the norm). Use extend of words and

gestures is planned by the author depending on the aim set for text. Their use varies during the whole text: in some parts the words are more, in other parts the gestures are more.

For comprehending the text adecquately the background knowledge, that is, the same information fund for the speaker (the author who creates the text) and the listener (the learner who analyzes text) is needed. Background knowledge is successful ensuring of speech process. A.M.Peshkovskiy stated that natural speech is "flexible", and we do not always convey our points completely. This kind of case occurs due to the former experience of the speaker [3]. Former experience (knowledge) of speaker is a knowledge which does not contain any word of the text, and is carried by gestures.

Background knowledge is defined in a particular order. Background knowledge can be defined according to its meaning: simple, non-scientific, scientific, literary-fictional.

Furthermore, V.Ya.Shabes has given other definition too [5]. Background knowledge contains the following:

- 1) social knowledge, which is known to all members of the speech act until the information transferring starts;
- 2) individual knowledge, which is known only to two members of the dialog until the information transferring starts;
- 3) group knowledge, which is known to the members of the teams connected with jobs, social relations (medicinal, political knowledges and others).

It should be emphasized that background knowledge constantly turns from one form into another form. For example, if a death of a common woman is an individual knowledge, then a death of the queen Diana is a national, or even a global knowledge. And here the individual knowledge turns into social knowledge.

Individual knowledge sometimes is used to create a meaning of the text. It is firstly connected with fictional literature and tends the existence of complete additional knowledge. Sometimes when the author expresses this or that thought, he relies directly on reader's knowledge by which he can comprehend the meaning of the text.



For example, A.Pushkin wrote in his work "Yevgeniy Onegin": "Zizi, my soul's purity...". When Yu.M.Lotman analyzed this sentence, he stated that the person who knew Zizi could understand the meaning of it. Zizi – was a short name of Yevpraksiya Nikolayevna Wolf in her childhood [2]. In short, it can be said that to understand this text the individual knowledge is required. The

author wrote this text relying on the individual knowledge of a reader.

In conclusion, we restate that text understanding complicated is a psycholinguistic process. Its investigation is the prior issues of Understanding and comprehension of text allow to optimizing interpersonal international relations.

List of used references.

- 1. Saharniy L.V. Introduction to psycholinguistics: Course of lectures. L.: Leningrad publ. univ.,1989.
 - 2. Valgina N.S. Theory of text. M.: Logos, 2003.
 - 3. Moskalskaya O.I. Grammar of text. M., 1981
 - 4. Dolinin K.A. Text and composition // Russian text. 1994, № 2.
 - 5. Kulibina N.V. Artistic text in linguo-didactic comprehension. Dissertation. M., 2001.
 - 6. Sayapina I.A. Culture as an informative-communicative system.- Krasnodar, 2001.
- 7. Larin B.A. Aesthetics of the word and the language of the writer: Collection of articles. L., 1974.
 - 8. Levidov A.M. Author image reader. the 2nd edit., add. L., 1983.
 - 9. Galperin N.R. Text is like an object of linguistic research. M., 1981.
 - 10. Lotman Yu.M. Novel of A.S. Pushkin «Yevgeniy Onegin». Comments. L., 1980. P 282.
 - 11. Peshkovskiy A.M. Objective and norm points of the language //Sel.lab. M. 1959. p. 58.
 - 12. Ter-Minasova S.G. Language and intercultural communication. M., 2000. p.79.
 - 13. Shabes V.Ya. Events and text. M., 1989.

Алимова К. Психологические проблемы понимания текста. Понимание устного и письменного текста имеет разную психологическую структуру. Понимание устного текста означает, что текст основан на дополнительных факторах (ситуационная интонация) дополнение к речи. осведомленность, жесты, выражения лица, в Следовательно. понимание устного текста, основанное на расшифровке логикограмматической структуры речи, фактически подразумевает рассмотрение всех средств текста, кроме речи. В понимании письменного текста, нет дополнительных факторов, а только расшифровка грамматической структуры, на которой он составлен. В диалоге между двумя людьми понимание осуществляется с использованием контекста вне речи. Понимание устного монолога уникально. В целом, понимание этих двух форм речи основано на совершенно разных законах.

Алимова К. Матни тушунишнинг психологик муаммолари. Шуни таъкидлаш лозимки, огзаки матнни тушуниш ёзма матнни тушунишдан ўзгача психологик структурага эга бўлади. Маълумки, огзаки матнни тушуниш матннинг нуткдан ташқари қўшимча омиллари (вазиятни билиш, имо-ишоралар, мимика, интонация) га таянган холда бўлишлигини англатади. Шунинг учун айтиш мумкинки, нуткнинг мантикий-грамматик тузулишини декодлаштиришга асосланган огзаки матнни тушуниш аслида матннинг нуткдан ташқари барча воситаларини ҳам инобатга олишни назарда тутади. Ёзма матнни тушунишда эса бундай қўшимча омиллар бўлмайди, ўзи ташкил топган грамматик тузулманигина расшифровка қилишни назарда тутади. Икки киши ўртасидаги диалогда тушуниш нуткдан ташқаридаги контекст ёрдамида амалга оширилади. Огзаки монологик нуткни тушуниш ўзгача кечади. Умуман айтганда, нуткнинг бу икки шаклини тушуниш мутлақо турли хил қонуниятлар асосида амалга оширилади.



TARJIMADA DISKURS TAQDIMOTI YORDAMIDA TARJIMON USLUBI KO'RINISHI

Xolikova Nozima Nematilloyevna,

Buxoro davlat universiteti I bosqich tayanch doktoranti

Kalit so'zlar: detektiv, tarjimashunoslik, uslub, yozma nutq bayoni, nutq bayoni, fikr bayoni, diskurs taqdimoti, romanshunoslik.

Tarjimonning uslubi bu manba matnidan qat'iy nazar ongsiz ravishda o'z tilining xususiyatlaridan foydalanishi natijasidir. Tarjima jarayonida tarjimonning uslubini o'rganishda manba matnidagi, tilidagi muayyan til ko'rsatmalaridan foydalanishda maqsadli strategiyalardan, va'ni bu ularning barcha tarjimalarida izchillikni ko'rsatadi. Ushbu maqolada biz To'lqin J.H.Cheyz asarlarining Alimov hamda Fathulla Namozov tomonidan qilin tarjimalarini tadqiq qilamiz. Bunda biz tarjimonning uslubini aniqlashga diskurs taqdimotiga e'tiborimizni qaratamiz.

Kirish. Tarjima jarayonini o'rganar ekanmiz, odatda uslub manba matniga yoki manba matnidagi muallifni nazarda tutamiz. Dastavval tarjima jarayonida "Sadoqat" yoki "sodiqlik" tarjimalarni baholashda asosiy

konseptual vositalardan biri sifatida qabul qilinadi.

Uslubshunoslik nuqtayi nazaridan, tarjima jarayonida tarjimonning o'z uslubiga ega bo'lishi mumkin emas. Chunki asar muallifi tarjimon emas. Asliyatdan tarjima asarda ayrim uslubiy ta'sirlarning o'tishi bu tarjima jarayonining samarali yo'llaridan Keyinchalik biridir. esa tilshunoslikda "ekvivalentlik" terminining asosiy mezonlari paydo bo'ldi. Lekin baribir muallif uslubining ham tarjima saqlanishi bu muttarjimning mahoratini belgilaydi. borada Bu tarjimonning vazifasi shunchaki muallif uslubiga taqlid qilishlikni taqozo etadi. Yana shuni ta'kidlash joizki, tarjimonning o'ziga xosligi shundaki, u o'zining ijtimoiy hayoti ta'siri ostida bo'lishidir.

Asosiy qism. Statistikaga asoslangan uslub Jeyms Hedli Cheyz asarlari va uning tarjimalari: (1-Jadval)

| Asar | Tarjima asar | Muttarjim | Nashr davri | |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
| Vulture is a patient | Quzg'un sabrli qush | To'lqin Alimov | Toshkent- | |
| bird | | | "O'zbekiston". 2012. | |
| Coffin from Hong | Gonkongdan kelgan | To'lqin Alimov | Toshkent- | |
| Kong | tobut | | "O'zbekiston". 2012. | |
| Come easy go easy | Seyfdagi pullar | Fathulla Namozov | Toshkent- "Yangi asr | |
| | | | avlodi". 2013. | |
| Lady - Here's Your | Sirli xonim | Fathulla Namozov | Toshkent- | |
| Wreath | | | "O'zbekiston". 2016. | |

bayonida Tarjimaning diskurs mutarjimning uslubi aks ettirilar ekan, bunda biz Cheyz asarlari va uning tarjimalarini tahlil gilamiz. Cheyz asarlarining T.Alimov hamda F.Namozov tomonidan tarjimalarini tahlil tarjimonning ailish davomida uslubini aniqlash mumkin. Diskursiv tarjimada o'zbek romanlari noaniqlik asosan shaxs olmoshlarining tarjimasida uchraydi.: "yousen/siz" and "I-men," va ularning boshqa shakllari: "you're," "you'll," "you'd," "you've," "I'm," "I'll," "I've," "I'd," va xokazo. Shunga ko'ra, ular shaxs olmoshlari va xabar maylini o'z ichiga oladi, va qolganlari ikki guruhga klassifikatsiya qilinadi: Yozma va fikr bayoni. (YB/FB) (jadval 2-jadvalga berilgan xabar mayli o'rniga, rivoyatchining yozma bayoni (RYB),



shu bilan birga bosh qahramonning erkin ko'chma fikr (EKFB), bunda, agar xabar gap tushurib qoldirilganda yoki ko'chirilganda 2-jadvalda ko'rsatilgan bosh qahramonning monologi shu bilan birga, uning o'zi uchun belgilangan nutqidir. Ushbu klsssifikatsiyaga

ko'ra, noaniq diskurs tarjimasi ikki tarjimonning jumlalar darajasiga asosan olinganligi bilan shakllanadi va shaxs, zamon, diskurs taqdimoti shakli sifatida tahlil qilingan.

Noaniq diskurs taqdimotining ikki turi Cheyzning Seyfdagi pullar asarida. 2-jadval Two (my own translations in English)

| Diskurs | Misollar | Kategoriyalari | Subkategoriyalar | Xarakteristika |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| taqdimotining | | | | |
| noaniq shakli | | | | |
| Yozma va fikr | 1) night | Yozma bayoni | Rivoyatchining | Xabar mayli |
| bayoni (YB/FB) | demands more | (YB) | bayoni (RB) | bilan |
| - | care and skill | | - | |
| | than daytime. | | | |
| | Naturally one | | | |
| | can earn more | | | |
| | money. | | | |
| | 2) If the | Fikr bayoni (FB) | Erkin ko'chma | |
| | rickshaw is | | fikr | |
| | somehow | | bayoni(EKFB) | |
| | damaged, of | | Erkin | |
| | course it has to | | o'zlashtirma fikr | |
| | be paid. That | | bayoni (EO'FB) | |
| | bothers him | | | |
| | more, just like | | | |
| | adding fuel | | | |
| | to the flames.) | | | |
| | 3) (But, he | Yozma bayoni | Rivoyatchining | Xabar mayli siz, |
| | realized soon | | bayoni (RB) | birdan sodir |
| | that pulling a | | | bo'ladigan |
| | rickshaw is an | | | |
| | easier way to | | | |
| | earn money; | | | |
| | the income by | | | |
| | doing other | | | |
| | hard work is | | | |
| | limited; pulling | | | |
| | a rickshaw | | | |
| | offers one more | | | |
| | changes and | | | |
| | opportunities. | | | |
| | One doesn't | | | |
| | know when and | | | |
| | where he will | | | |
| | get more pay | | | |
| | than he has | | | |
| | desired .) | Ellen la conserti | Dalein | |
| | 4) (He began to | Fikr bayoni | Erkin | |



| | chew over the words: for such a compliment, he should have helped out the shaved-head young man; besides, two dollars is | | o'zlashtirma fikr bayoni (EO'FB) | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| Vorme ve film | quite a sum and not something you can pick up every day.) | Varma havari | Eulein Ira'ah ma | Diadon voltor |
| Yozma va fikr bayoni (YB/FB) | 5) (Besides, he had his own ideas: more care and less rush should lead to no trouble.) | Yozma bayoni (YB) | Erkin ko'chma fikr bayoni (EKFB) Erkin o'zlashtirma fikr bayoni (EO'FB) | Birdan xabar mayli bilan |
| | 6) (Suddenly, [he] thought, if he could earn ten cents a day, he could have one hundred dollars in a thousand days. A thousand days!) | Fikr bayoni (FB) | Erkin ko'chma fikr bayoni (EKFB) Erkin o'zlashtirma fikr bayoni (EO'FB) | |
| | 7) (As long as he worked hard, there would be endless money for him to earn and endless food and clothes for him to enjoy.) | Yozma bayoni | | Xabar maylisiz, lekin oʻzlik olmoshlari bilan |
| | | | bayoni (EO'FB) | |



| 8) (It seems that a man is nothing at all. He is just like a bird. Once you try to feed yourself, you're bound to end up in a trap .) | Fikr bayoni | Erkin ko'chma fikr bayoni (EKFB) Erkin o'zlashtirma fikr bayoni (EO'FB) | |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| | | | |

Tarjimon uslubining diskurs taqdimoti tarjimasida namoyon bo'lishining turli xil modellari mavjuddir. Fe'lning zamon shakllari, shaxs olmoshlari, so'z turkumlari, yozma va fikr bayonlari o'zbek tilidagi romanlar ingliz tilidagi bilan yoki aksinchasi bilan mos kelmaydi. Ingliz romanlarining o'zbek tilidagi tarjimalari orasidagi farqlar mutarjimlarga hamon qiyinchilik tug'dirmoqda.

Uslublarni tahlil qilar ekanmiz, bu borada Xitoy olimi Liech va Shortning diskurs taqdimoti analizlaridan foydalanamiz, ular ushbu tahlilni fikr va nutq bayoni shkalasiga bo'lib o'rganadilar. Biz esa ushbu jadvallardan Cheyz asarlarini tahlil qilishda foydalandik. (3-4-jadvallarda berilgan) Leich va Short aytadilarki: "Nutq va fikr taqdimoti rejimlari rasman juda o'xshashdir, lekin shuni yodda tutish joizki, xarakter fikrining bayoni hattoki juda tez o'zlashtirma shaklda ham to'liq sun'iydir." (1981: 337). Boshqa so'z bilan aytganda, ikki kategoriya o'xshash shakllarni namoyish etsalarda, fikr bayoni bu qahramonning ongida sodir bo'ladigan so'zdir va u hech qachon fizik holat bo'lolmaydi, faqatgina rivoyatchining birir narsaga ishontirishidir. Bu borada qo'shtirnoq ichidagi misollar * 4-jadvaldagi fikr bayonini variantlar misolida ko'rish uchun.

(3-jadval fikr va nutq kategoriyalari Leich va Short jadvali)

| Nutq bayoni | Rivoyatchining | O'zlashtirma | Erkin | Ko'chma | Erkin |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| (NB) | nutqiy | nutq | o'zlashtirma | nutq | ko'chma nutq |
| | harakati | (O'N) | nutq | (KN) | (EKN) |
| | bayoni(RNHB) | | (EN) | | |
| Fikr bayoni | Rivoyatchining | O'zlashtirma | Erkin | Ko'chma fikr | Erkin |
| (FB) | fikriy bayoni | fikr (O'F) | o'zlashtirma | (KF) | ko'chma |
| | (RFB) | | fikr(EF) | | fikr(EKF) |

Fikr va nutq bayoni kategoriyalariga misollar – 4-jadval (Leich va Short jadvali)

| Turlar | Nutq bayoni Fikr bayoni |
|---------|---|
| KN/KF | He said, "I'll come back here He said, "I'll come back here |
| | to see you again tomorrow" to see you again tomorrow" |
| | He wondered, "Does she still He wondered, "Does she still |
| | love me?" love me?" |
| O'N/O'F | He said, he would return He said, he would return |
| | there to see her there to see her |
| | again the following day again the following day |



| | He wondered if she still loved | He wondered if she still loved | |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | him | him | |
| EKN/EKF | He said I'll come back here to | He said I'll come back here to | |
| | see you again tomorrow | see you again tomorrow *(He | |
| | *(He wondered, does she still | wondered, does she still | |
| | love me?) "I'll come back | love me?) "I'll come back | |
| | here to see you again | here to see you again | |
| | tomorrow" *("Does she still | tomorrow" *("Does she still | |
| | love me?") I'll come back | love me?") I'll come back | |
| | here to see you again | here to see you again | |
| | tomorrow Does she still love | tomorrow Does she still love | |
| | me? | me? | |
| EN/EF | He promised to return He | He promised to return He | |
| | wondered about her love He | wondered about her love He | |
| | promised to visit her again | promised to visit her again | |
| | for him | for him | |
| RNHB/RFB | He would return there to see | He would return there to see | |
| | her again the following day | her again the following day | |
| | Did she still love him? He | Did she still love him? He | |
| | would return there to see her | would return there to see her | |
| | again tomorrow *(Did she | again tomorrow *(Did she | |
| | still love him? He wondered) | still love him? He wondered) | |
| | He would come back there to | He would come back there to | |
| | see her again tomorrow | see her again tomorrow | |

4-jadvalda keltirilgan misollarga ko'ra nutq va fikr bayoni orasidagi asosiy farqlar ingliz tilida xabar maylidagi fe'llarning ishlatilishidan iboratdir. Oldingisi turidagi xabar yo'llovchi hamda uni qabul giluvchining bog'ligligidagi fe'llar bilan xarakterlanadi, keyingisi esa fikr-o'y sifatida fe'llar, ishlatiladigan bular asosan qahramonning monologi yoki o'zi bilan o'zi gapirish nutqidir. Bularning barchasini mukammallashtiruvchi narsa bu xabar mayli yoki zaruriy kontekst tushirilib qoldirilganda ma'no ko'chirilganda yoki ikkinchisidan farqlash qiyin bo'ladi. Shunga o'xshash rasmiy jihatlarning tanqisligi tufayli tarjima romanidagi gaplarning qiymatini baholash qiyin. Holbuki, ba'zi hollarda asarlardagi xarakterlar monologi monologi nutq bayoni sifatida ham qabul qilinishi mumkin.

Bu ikki kategoriyalar kitobxonga turlicha ta'sir oʻtkazishi mumkin.: EN qahramon va kitobxon orasidagi masofani saqlashida, EF qarama-qarshi ta'sirga ega, u kitobxonni qahramonning ongigacha olib boradi. Qoʻshimcha qilib, 4-jadvalda shuni ko'rish mumkinki, xabar maylidan fikrlarni aylantirganimizda, ya'ni EKN va EN qisman EKF va EF ga shaklan juda o'xshashdir. Shunday qilib, ingliz va o'zbek tilidagi asarlar diskurs taqdimoti kategoriyasida noaniqliklar shulardan iboratdir.

Tarjima jarayonidagi romanlarni tadqiq qilar ekanmiz, Leich va Shortning diskurs taqdimotidagi modeliga Semino va qo'shimcha kategoriyalarni vana qo'shadilar: yozma nutq bayoni singari va uning yangi shkalasini yaratadilar. Yangi model kategoriyalari yozma bayoni parallel ravishda nutq va fikr bayoni bilan boradi. Bu Semino Short diskurs borada. va tagdimotining barcha kategoriyalariga quyidagicha xulosaga keldilar:

- 1. NB juda tez uchraydi, FB esa YBdan ko'ra ko'prog;
- 2. barcha subkategoriyalarda NB, EKN va O'N birgalikda tez-tez uchraydi, EN esa ikkinchi darajada;
- 3. YN va RYNBning barcha subkategoriyalari tez-tez uchraydi;
- 4. FBning barcha subkategoriyalari IB, EFdan keyin KF va EKF juda kam



uchraydigan. *Bular shuni* ko'rsatadiki ingliz tilidagi asarlarda nutq va fikr bayoni yozma

bayondan ko'ra ko'proq uchraydi.

Quyida ularning 5-jadvalini ko'rishingiz mumkin.

| Kategoriyalar | Oʻziga xos qisqartma shakllari | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|-----|----|----|-----|
| Nutq bayoni(NB) | RO | RNBH | O'N | EN | KN | EKN |
| Fikr bayoni (FB) | IB | RFBH | O'F | EF | KF | EKF |
| Yozma nutq bayoni (YNB) | RY | RYBH | O'Y | EY | KY | EKY |

RO-Rivoyat qiluvchining ovozli bayoni, RNBH- rivoyatchining nutqni bayon qilish harakati, IB- ichki fikr bayoni, RFBH- rivoyatchining fikrni bayon qilish harakati, RY-rivoyatchining yozma nutq bayoni, RYBH-rivoyatchining yozma nutq bayoni harakati.

Foydalanilgan adabiyotlar ro'yxati:

- 1. Boase-Beier, Jean. 2006. Stylistic approaches to translation. Manchester: St. Jerome.
- 2. Newmark, Peter. 1981. Approaches to translation. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- 3. Leech, Geffrey, and Mick Short. 1981. Style in fiction: A linguistic introduction to English fictional prose. London: Longman.
- 4. Leech, Geffrey, and Mick Short. 1981. Style in fiction: A linguistic introduction to English fictionalprose. London: Longman.
- 5. Semino, Elena, and Mick Short. 2004. Corpus stylistics: Speech, writing and thought presentation in a corpus of English writing. London: Routledge.
 - 6. Chase J.H. Come easy-Go easy.-Granada:"Watfa books", 1960.-P.80.
 - 7. Cheyz J.H. Seyfdagi pullar. Tarjima asar.-T.:"Yangi asr avlodi",2011 .-224b.

Xolikova N. Appearance of traslators' style with the help of discourse presentation in translation. This article analyzes the author's style and the translator's style by presenting the English detective novelist James Hedley Chase's "Safe Money" (Discount) in translation. The models and scales of Chinese linguists and translators Leich, Semino and Short were effectively used in their analysis.

Холикова Н. Представление стиля переводчика при помощи презентации дискурса. В этой статье анализируется стиль автора и стиль переводчика, представляя в переводе «Safe Money» Джеймса Хедли Чейза. Модели и шкалы китайских лингвистов и переводчиков Leich, Semino и Short были эффективно использованы в их анализе.