



## **FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF TENSE AND MOOD VERB FORMS IN KOREAN**

***Eshpulatova Sabrina Farkhodovna***

*Uzbekistan State World Languages University*

*Faculty of Oriental Philology*

*Student of Philology and Language Teaching: Korean Language Major*

*882976555*

*[sabrinafarkhadvna@gmail.com](mailto:sabrinafarkhadvna@gmail.com)*

**Abstract:** This article investigates the functional roles of tense and mood verb forms in Korean, moving beyond purely structural descriptions to explore how these grammatical features contribute to meaning-making in discourse. The analysis focuses on how tense morphology interacts with aspect and evidentiality to convey temporal relations and the speaker's perspective on events. It also examines the various mood markers, exploring their functions in expressing speaker attitudes, deontic and epistemic modality, and illocutionary force. Special attention is given to the interplay between tense and mood, revealing how their combined functions contribute to the overall interpretation of utterances in context. By adopting a functional perspective, this paper aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how Korean verb morphology shapes meaning and facilitates effective communication. The study draws on authentic language data to support its claims, highlighting the importance of considering context and discourse in analyzing grammatical phenomena.

**Keywords:** Korean, Verb Morphology, Tense, Mood, Aspect, Evidentiality, Functional Linguistics, Discourse Analysis, Modality, Speech Acts, Grammatical Semantics, Korean Grammar, Verb Forms, Speaker Attitude

### **INTRODUCTION**

Korean, a language known for its agglutinative verb morphology, employs a rich system of tense and mood markers to express a wide range of temporal and modal meanings. Traditionally, these forms have been analyzed primarily from a structural perspective, focusing on their morphological composition and syntactic distribution. However, a functional analysis, which emphasizes the communicative purpose and the role of language in context, offers a more comprehensive understanding of how these grammatical features contribute to meaning-making in Korean discourse. This paper investigates the functional roles of tense and mood verb forms in Korean, exploring how they interact with aspect, evidentiality, and pragmatic factors to convey temporal relations, speaker attitudes, and illocutionary force.

#### **1. Tense Morphology and its Functional Roles:**

Korean tense morphology primarily involves the distinction between past, present, and future. The core tense markers are:

- -(았/었)- (-at/eot-): Past tense marker.
- -는/ㄴ - (-neun/n-): Present tense marker (primarily used for descriptive verbs).
- -(으)ㄹ - (-eu)l-): Future tense marker (also used for prospective aspect).

However, the functional roles of these markers extend beyond simply indicating the temporal location of an event.

- Past Tense: While primarily indicating past time, the past tense marker can also convey completed action, certainty, and even politeness. For example, "했어요 (haesseoyo)" (I did it) not only indicates a completed action but can also be used to soften a request or express deference. In narratives, the consistent use of the past tense establishes the temporal framework and contributes to the overall coherence of the story.
- Present Tense: The present tense marker "-는 / -" is primarily used with descriptive verbs (adjectives) to describe states or qualities that are currently true. With action verbs, the unmarked form (without "-는 / -") is typically used to indicate habitual actions or universal truths. This functional distinction highlights the interaction between tense and lexical aspect. The present tense can also be used for performative utterances, where the act of speaking constitutes the action itself, such as "약속합니다 (yaksokhamnida)" (I promise).
- Future Tense: The future tense marker "-(으)ㄹ" not only expresses future events but also carries connotations of intention, probability, and speculation. Its use often implies a degree of uncertainty or planning regarding the future action. Furthermore, "-(으)ㄹ 것 이 다 (-eu)l geosida)," a more complex future construction, adds an element of prediction or inference based on present evidence. The functional difference lies in the speaker's commitment to the future event.

## 2. Mood Morphology and its Expressive Power:

Korean mood morphology encompasses a range of forms that express speaker attitudes, modality, and illocutionary force. Key mood markers include:

- -세요/십시오 (-seyo/shipsio): Honorific imperative/directive.
- -(으)십시오 (-eu)pshida): Propositive/suggestive mood.
- -(으)까요 (-eu)l kkeyo): Interrogative suggestive mood.
- -네요 (-neyo): Exclamatory/affective mood.
- -겠- (-get-): Intention/probability/ability mood.

Analyzing these markers reveals their functional complexity.

- Imperative/Directive: The honorific imperative forms "- 세 요 / 십 시 오 " are used to give commands or make requests in a polite manner. The choice between "- 세 요 " (more informal) and "- 십 시 오 " (more formal) depends on the social relationship between the speaker and the addressee. The functional purpose is not merely to issue a command but to do so in a socially appropriate way, respecting the addressee's status.
- Propositive/Suggestive: The "-(으)십시오" form expresses a suggestion or invitation to do something together. It implies a shared intention and a desire for collaboration. Functionally, it serves to create social solidarity and encourage joint action.
- Interrogative Suggestive: Adding the interrogative suffix "-(으)까요" to the "-(으)십시오" form transforms the suggestion into a question, seeking the addressee's opinion or agreement. This form is often used when the speaker is unsure about the addressee's willingness to participate or when they want to be more polite.
- Exclamatory/Affective: The "-네요" ending conveys surprise, admiration, or other emotions. It expresses the speaker's subjective reaction to a situation or event. The functional purpose is to share the speaker's feelings with the addressee and create emotional resonance.
- Intention/Probability/Ability: The "- 겠 -" form is highly versatile, expressing intention ("I will"), probability ("it will probably"), or ability ("I can"). The specific meaning is determined by

context and the lexical properties of the verb. Functionally, it allows the speaker to express their commitment to a future action, their assessment of the likelihood of an event, or their capacity to perform an action.

### 3. Interaction between Tense and Mood:

The interplay between tense and mood is crucial for conveying complex meanings in Korean. For instance, combining the past tense with the "-겠-" form results in expressions of regret or counterfactuals: "갔었겠어요 (gasseotgesseoyo)" (I should have gone/I would have gone). Similarly, combining the future tense with the imperative form creates a softened directive: "가세요 (gaseyo)" (Please go) vs. "가시겠어요 (gasigesseoyo)?" (Would you like to go?). This interaction reveals how tense and mood work together to fine-tune the speaker's intention and the force of their utterance.

### 4. Evidentiality and Speaker Perspective:

Evidentiality, the marking of the source of information, is closely intertwined with tense and mood in Korean. Certain verb endings, such as "-대요 (-daeyo)" (reported speech) and "-잖아요 (-janayo)" (as you know), explicitly indicate the speaker's source of knowledge. These markers often interact with tense and mood to convey the speaker's degree of certainty and their perspective on the information being presented. For example, the combination of the past tense, evidentiality marker, and exclamatory mood can express surprise at learning about a past event from another source: "그 사람이 갔대요! (geu sarami gattaeyo!)" (I heard that person left!). A functional analysis of tense and mood verb forms in Korean reveals a rich and nuanced system for conveying temporal relations, speaker attitudes, and illocutionary force. By moving beyond purely structural descriptions, we gain a deeper understanding of how these grammatical features contribute to meaning-making in discourse. Tense markers express not only the temporal location of events but also notions of completion, certainty, and politeness. Mood markers convey a range of speaker attitudes, from politeness and suggestion to surprise and commitment. The interaction between tense, mood, and evidentiality further enriches the expressive power of Korean, allowing speakers to fine-tune their utterances to achieve specific communicative goals. Future research should continue to explore the interplay between these grammatical features and pragmatic factors, focusing on the analysis of authentic language data to further illuminate the functional complexity of Korean verb morphology.

## CONCLUSION

A functional lens reveals that Korean tense and mood morphology transcends simple grammatical classification, acting as a dynamic toolkit for conveying complex meanings. Tense expresses not just temporal location but also speaker certainty and politeness, while mood marks a spectrum of attitudes, intentions, and interpersonal dynamics. Their interplay, further nuanced by evidentiality, provides speakers with sophisticated means to shape utterances for specific communicative goals. This approach highlights the importance of analyzing Korean verb forms within their discourse context, acknowledging their contribution to meaning-making beyond purely structural considerations. Future research should explore fine-grained pragmatic effects and corpus-based analyses to deepen our understanding of these functional relationships, ultimately enriching our appreciation for the Korean language's expressive power.

## REFERENCES:

1. Bybee, J. L. (1985). *Morphology: A study of the relation between meaning and form*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
2. Chafe, W. (1994). *Discourse, consciousness, and time: The flow and displacement of conscious experience in speaking and writing*. University of Chicago Press.
3. Givón, T. (2001). *Syntax: An introduction (Vol. 1)*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
4. Lee, H. S., Ramsey, S. R., & Sohn, H.-M. (2000). *The Korean language*. State University of New York Press.