

## ON THE PROBLEM OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF PHRASEOLOGICAL UNITS OF ENGLISH, RUSSIAN AND KARAKALPAK LANGUAGES IN COMPARISON: THEIR SIMILARITY AND DIFFERENCES

Кеулимжаева Г.К

**Нукус, Узбекистан** Преподаватель КГУ им.Бердаха, факультет иностранных языков **e-mail:** <u>gulya.97.97@mail.ru</u> +998973543733

**Resume:** This article deals with the classification of phraseological units in the English, Russian and Karakalpak languages. The article is devoted to the comparative study of the phraseological units of the three languages.

Key words: phraseological units, parts of speech, classification, phraseological tracing, comparison.

Comparative study of languages is one of the leading directions of modern linguistic science. Comparison allows revealing the similarities and specific for each language differences in the choice of phonetic, lexical, grammatical means, while describing the same facts of linguistic reality.

The object of the comparative analysis in this article is the phraseological system of the English, Russian, Karakalpak languages.

The phraseology of the English, Russian and Karakalpak languages is rich and varied both in composition and in content; it is distinguished by originality, beauty and expressiveness. In addition, they have a developed system of expressive means: polysemy, rich synonymy.

In the modern science of phraseological units, we can distinguish the following methods for their classification, which are equally acceptable for both English and Russian and Karakalpak languages.

From a morphological point of view, phraseological units can be considered depending on which parts of speech the words constitute them and the whole phraseological unit corresponds to which part of speech [1, 46].

Phraseological units of the English language make the following combination:

a) combination of a noun with the numeral and adjective: *three sheets to the wind*, *vicious circle, the Indian summer;* 

b) combination of the prepositional-case noun form with the adjective: *sink into a reverie, be on a good footing;* 

c) combination of a verb with a noun (with a preposition and without a preposition): *make something out of thin air, come to one's senses, cock one's nose*;

d) combination of a verb with adverb: to see through somebody, fly high, get down to earth;

e) combination of participle with noun: *one's heart is bleeding;* 

Russian phraseological units make the following combination [5, 37]:

a) combination of nouns: море по колено (three sheets to the wind), с минуты на минуту (from a minute to minute), турусы на колёсах (cock-and-bull stories);



b) combination of a noun with adjective, pronoun, ordinary number: *за милую душу* (*sink into a reverie*), *наш брат (bruh), первые шаги (initial steps);* 

c) comparison of a verb with a noun, including a verbal adverb with a noun: высосать из пальца (make something out of thin air), заварить кашу (put the chestnuts in the fire), сесть в калошу (be in a pretty puddle), засучив рукава (turn up ones sleeves), скрепя сердце (reluctantly);

d) comparison of a verb with adverb: видеть насквозь (see through a brick wall), лететь стрелой (buzz).

In the Karakalpak language the phraseological units make the following combination [2, 88]:

a) comparison of two nouns in the main case or a noun in the genitive case with a noun in the main case: аўыз бастырыў (three sheets to the wind), ийт өлими (cur's death), пышақ арқасы (flip knife), көз асты (hand-picked), ат усти (astraddle);

b) combination of a noun in the main case with verb: *uu nucuў (get drearily), көз* бояў (Machiavellian), журек сазыў (benign up);

c) comparison of the noun in the accusative case with the verb: өкше көтериў (toddle up), бой жазыў (lie by), журек жалғаў (buzz around the barrel), мурын көтериў (have a fair conceit of), көз жумыў (turn the blind eye);

d) combination of a noun in dative case with verb: көзге илмеў (make little of), белине тебиў (ball-up), бетке айтыў (make something out of thin air), көзге тусиў (be in a trap);

e) combination of a noun with adjective: аўызы бос (bat ones gum), журеги бос (as good as gold), бети қалың (brazen-faced);

f) combination of a noun in dative case with noun in the main or accusative case and verb: *тубине дўз егиў (get to the end of the end), аўызына қатық ўйтыў (keep one's mouth sewn);* 

g) combination of an adjective with noun: ушан теңиз бурқыған дәрья (give eye teeth for), ушкыр қыял (sink into a reverie);

h) comparison of the noun in the local case with the verb: аяқтан тартыў (cut across), аўызынан туспеў (keep going), ҳақынан шығыў (live up);

i) combination of a noun and a verb, connected with a verb: аўзы менен қус салыў (lick somebody's boots), тили менен өрақ өрыў (dissolve action in speech);

j) combination of numerals and other parts of speech: *тоғыз жолдың ортасында* (*midway*), жети қыйлы (this and that), жети журттың тилин билетуғын (get on with people);

k) combination of adverbs and combinations of adverbs with other parts of speech: арысы-ары (at intervals), бериси-бери (here-and-there), анаўы-анда (that-and-that), мынаўы-мында (this-on-this), төбе шашы тик турыў (hit the roof).

It should be noted that similarities in the ways of formation of phraseological units in the English, Russian and Karakalpak languages are expressed in the aspect of morphology. Thus, in three languages, phraseological units are combinations of nouns (three sheets to the wind - море по колено - аўыз бастырыў), nouns and adjectives (sink into a reverie - за милую душу – ушқыр қыял), nouns and verbs (make something out of thin air - высосать из пальца – бетке айтыў).



Typology of the English language based on the correspondence of the syntactic functions of phraseological units and parts of speech with which they can be replaced [7, 87].

1. Nominal idioms: *Swan-song* (in the sentence, they perform the functions of the subject, the predicate, the addition; by the nature of connections with other words in combination, they can manage a member and be controlled);

2. Verbal idioms: *Hold one's ground - to hold very firmly, not to give up their positions* (in the sentence they play the role of a predicate; in combination with other words, they can be coordinated, managed and be controlled);

3. Adjective idioms: *In blooming health* (they have a meaning of a qualitative characteristic and, like adjectives, appear in the sentence as a function of the definition or the nominal part of the predicate);

4. Adverbial or adverbial idioms: *Up one's sleeves* (like adverbs, characterize the quality of the action and play the role of circumstances in the sentence);

5. Interstitial idioms: *Good luck!* (like interjections, such phraseological expressions express will, feelings, speaking as separate, undifferentiated sentences).

It is known that, according to the point of view of well-known linguists, in particular, N.A. Shansky [8, 62], idioms are distinguished by the relation with the part of speech in the Russian language:

1. Verb (or verbal; from the Latin. Verbum - verb): класть зубы на полку (have to tighten one's belt) бить баклуши (twiddle one's thumbs), клевать носом (needle-noddle), водить за нос(lead up the garden path);

2. Nominal (substantive; from the Latin. Substantivum - the noun): заячья душа (faint-heart), хождение по мукам (frivolous and habitual engaging);

3. Adjectival (from the Latin. Adjectivum - an adjective): гол как сокол (ever spare ever bare), кожа да кости (stack of bones), на одно лицо (per capita);

4. Adverbial: кто в лес кто по дрова (Dutch concert), на веки вечные (till kingdom come), через пень колоду (via stump chump);

5. Interstitial: Bom meбe paз! (Heres a pretty how-do-you-do!), Мать честная! (Mater fair and square!), Ни пуха, ни пера! (Break a leg!).

Speaking about the types of phraseological units in relation to the part of speech in the Karakalpak language, we can distinguish the classification given by E. Berdimuratov [2, 133]:

1. Verbal: бас қатырыў (mull over), иши жаныў (down at heels), көзге илмеў (let things slide), ускини қуйылыў (rub through), бел байлаў (blaze up), баўыр басыў (form a habit), туйениң устинен ийт қабыў (wide-eyed.

2. Substantive: аўыз бирлик (in concert), көз адыйығы (send ones compliments), аўыз бастырық (cover over).

3. Adjectival: ашық жузли (gentle heart), қара бет (wicked up), көкиреги кең (profuse in), қолы гул (clever fingers), өң қолы ойылған (plooding on).

4. Adverbial: көз бенен қастың арасында (phony up), бир аўыздан (at one stoke), am ycmu (pique oneself on), көзди ашып жумғанша (on the spot).

From the above examples, it follows that phraseological units in relation to the part of speech in these languages show complete similarity. Thus, the phraseological units of the English, Russian and Karakalpak languages have similarities and differences.



## XXI CENTURY RENAISSANCE IN THE PARADIGM OF SCIENCE, EDUCATION AND TECHNOLOGY INNOVATIONS

The main similarity is reflected in the methods of classification. Speaking of differences, it can be noted that in Karakalpak language there are phraseological tracing from English and Russian languages and literally translatable phraseological units, due to the peculiarity of the national mentality.

## **REFERENCES:**

1. Arsenyev E.F. Comparative analysis of phraseological units. – Kazan: Kazan.un-ta publishing house, 1989. – P. 389.

2. Berdimuratov E. Xəzirgi қағақаlpakti. Lexicology. – Nukus, 1994.

3. Kunin A.V. English-Russian phraseological dictionary. 3rd ed., Stereotype. – M.: Russian language, 2001. – P. 264.

4. Molotov V.M. Fundamentals of the phraseology of the Russian language. JL: Science, 1965.

5. Russian-Karakalpak phraseological dictionary (edited by A. Zharimbetov). Nukus, 1982.

6. Telia V.N. Russian phraseology: Semantic, programmatic and linguocultural aspect. – M.: School «Languages of Russian Culture», 1996.

7. Shansky N.M. Phraseology of the modern Russian language. – M., 1985.

8. Садулаева А. Til va madaniyatning lingvomadaniy aloqalari //Развитие лингвистики и литературоведения и образовательных технологий в эпоху глобализации. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 62-64.

9. Seytniyazova, G., & Atashova, F. (2022). THE CATEGORY OF PLURALITY IN RUSSIAN AND ENGLISH LANGUAGES. Educational Research in Universal Sciences, 1(1), 74–78. Retrieved from <u>http://erus.uz/index.php/er/article/view/477</u>

10. Atashova F. D., Seytniyazova G. M. DEVELOPING COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE OF ESP LEARNERS ESP ЎҚУВЧИЛАРИНИНГ КОММУНИКАТИВ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЯСИНИ РИВОЖЛАНТИРИШ //Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal. –  $2022. - T. 2022. - N_{\odot}. 2. - C. 38-50.$