VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 286) (2021: 5. 64) (2022: 6. 319) (2023: 7. 396)

OCLC - 1121105510

😵 Google 焂 WorldCat* 💦 MENDELEY Crossref d



Journal Website: https://theamericanjou rnals.com/index.php/ta jmspr

Copyright:Originalcontent from this workmay be used under theterms of the creativecommonsattributes4.0 licence.



Publisher: The USA Journals

O Research Article

EFFECTIVENESS OF EXERCISE PROGRAM ON LOW BACK PAIN AMONG NURSES WORKING AT A MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL IN DHAKA, BANGLADESH

Submission Date: January 30, 2023, Accepted Date: February 10, 2023, Published Date: February 28, 2023 | Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/TAJMSPR/Volume05Issue02-02

Halima Akter

Department Of Graduate Nursing, Banghabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Md. Shariful Islam

Department Of Adult And Elderly Health Nursing, National Institute Of Advanced Nursing Education and Research (NIANER), Mugda, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Jotsna Akter

Department Of Adult And Elderly Health Nursing, National Institute Of Advanced Nursing Education and Research (NIANER), Mugda, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Khaleda Akter

Department Of Psychiatric And Mental Health Nursing, National Institute Of Advanced Nursing Education and Research (NIANER), Mugda, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Corresponding Author: Halima Akter

Teaching Assistant, Department Of Graduate Nursing, Banghabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Background: Low Back pain (LBP) is one of the most thought-provoking health conditions among the health professionals, especially nurses and it is considered as a significant health problem around the world. The aim of this study was to examine the effectiveness of exercise programs to reduce LBP among nurses working at Mugda Medical College Hospital in Dhaka.

Methods: A Quasi Experimental one group pre-posttest study design with 5 weeks' exercise program and one week follow up session was used. A total of 60 nurses were conveniently recruited from Mugda Medical College Hospital.

The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research (ISSN – 2689-1026) VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

Crossref 🕺 🛜 Google 🏷 World Cat* 💦 MENDELEY

Data collection period was from January to March 2020. Nurses' characteristics were described by using Socio-Demographic Questionnaire (SDQ) and their LBP status was examined by Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ) and 11 points of Verbal Rating Scale for pain (VRSP) assessment. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation was used to describe the demographic characteristics and LBP of nurses. Inferential statistics such as matched paired t-test and independent sample t-test were used to examine the relationship between the variables.

<u>Results</u>: Finding shows that the mean pre-test LBP score of nurses was 5.40 (SD = 0.74) and post-test LBP score was 1.51 (SD = 1.27). The mean differences were 3.89 (SD = 0.53) which indicates significant difference between pre and post-test score. In matched paired t-test, it was found that there was a significant mean difference between pre and post-test of LBP (p< 0.001).

<u>Conclusion</u>: There was a significant mean difference after exercise program among the nurses with LBP. It indicates that exercise program was effective to reduce LBP. This finding can be recommended to develop educational modules for nurses to provide appropriate care to the patients.

KEYWORDS

Back pain, low back pain, exercise program, nurse.

INTRODUCTION

Pain and discomfort that is limited from the superior border of the inferior gluteal fold to the inferior costal margin, with or without leg pain, is defined as low back pain [1]. Low back pain (LBP) is recognized as a major health problem worldwide [2] and is one of the most discussed health conditions among healthcare professionals, particularly nursing professionals [3]. According to existing literature, the incidence of LBP in nurses' ranges from 40% to 97.9%, and it is more common in nurses than in the general population [4]. A closer analysis of the data shows that the prevalence rate of LBP among nurses were 74.2% in India, 87% in China, 76.5% in Malaysia and 72.9% in Bangladesh [5,6,7,8]. Given the estimation, the prevalence of LBP among nurses in Bangladesh was slightly lower but was much higher than other peer countries like Pakistan (32%) [9] and Nigeria (44.1%) [10].

Nurses are more likely to acquire LBP due to the nature of their work, which includes frequently lifting patients during a shift, assisting patients with ambulation, frequently moving patients' beds, standing frequently, and taking on too much work [11,12]. On the other hand, some earlier authors [13,14,15,16] recognized certain workplace factors as key predictors of LBP, including as overtime work, extended working hours, working posture, twisting the body while working, manual handling, and shift work. In addition, life style elements like physical activity and psychological wellbeing, such as stress and job satisfaction, were linked to the emergence of LBP in nurses [15,17]. Additionally, existing literature have reported that sociodemographic characteristics such as gender, marital status, family history, monthly salary and educational



VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

Crossref doi

😵 Google 🏷 WorldCat[®] 💦 MENDELEY

level influence the development of LBP in nurses [15,17, 18].

LBP affects nurses in both their personal and professional lives. LBP interferes with nurses' ability to perform their jobs effectively, which lowers the standard of care provided to patients [19]. A few studies have shown that nurses' occupations may be terminated due to physical and mental pressures [20]. According to a study conducted in the United States, back injuries caused 12% of nurses to guit their jobs between 1980 and 2012 [21]. Reduced income and/or job loss might cause family stress or a loss of neighborhood and group ties [22]. On the other hand, a systemic evaluation of the effects of LBP on nurses found that it can cause loss of physical function, deterioration of overall health and fitness, loss of social functioning, and disruption of psychological function [22]. Along with the affected individuals, LBP has an impact on the organizations they are connected to. According to a study from the United Kingdom, apart from UK, other industrialized nations also experience growing absenteeism and costs for disability benefits related to back pain [23]. Additionally, the standard of patient care is compromised, which indirectly adds to the workload for the other nurses working in the same ward. Additionally, it was discovered that LBP can be expensive due to the high cost of workers' compensation, insurance for injured workers, recruitment or training expenses, the time-consuming nature of LBP treatment, and return-to-work rehabilitation [24].

Exercise programs can be crucial in helping nurses with LBP avoid a significant loss in terms of their personal, professional, social, financial, and organizational wellbeing. The results of a randomized controlled trial research indicated that the basic exercise program was successful in reducing pain and exhaustion [25]. On the

other hand, a meta-analysis of 61 nurse-specific randomized controlled trials found that exercise therapy reduced chronic back pain for the course of the studies' follow-up periods [26]. In a randomized controlled trial study, the Back School program was used with 137 nurses as the experimental group, and results showed a reduced LBP syndrome intensity score on the visual analogue scale compared to the pre-intervention score [3]. Another quasi-experimental study found that nurses who participated in a stretching exercise program saw modest to significant reductions in LBP [27]. Although many nations have concentrated on helping nurses lower their LBP through exercise programs, Bangladesh's research is insufficient in this area. In Bangladesh, there weren't many studies done to lower LBP among nurses. As a result, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of exercise regimens on LBP among nurses employed by a medical college hospital situating in Dhaka city.

METHODOLOGY

In order to examine the effectiveness of exercise on LBP, a quasi-experimental study design was used in this study. In this design, a group of nurses was selected from Mugda Medical College Hospital and they were gone through Verbal Rating Scale for Pain (VRSP) test before and after administering the intervention. Based on previous study reference [2], the effect sample size (60) was calculated by using a standard formula developed by Lachenbruch and Cohen, (1989) [28]. The inclusion criteria for being the participants of this study was female nurses who were experiencing LBP for longer than 6 months, had pain scores between 4 to 6 score on the 11 points Verbal Rating Scale for Pain (VRSP), and had full time working experience. In contrast, those who had orthopedic and/or neurological diseases, received surgery for back pain,



The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research (ISSN – 2689-1026) VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19 SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 286) (2021: 5. 64) (2022: 6. 319) (2023: 7. 396) OCLC – 1121105510

Crossref doi

😵 Google 🌀 WorldCat* 💦 MENDELEY

pregnant, reluctant to attend in the exercise program and received any pain management strategies were excluded from the study.

150 nurses were conveniently selected for data collection. Of these, 80 nurses were selected by screening of Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ) for the pre-testing of Verbal Rating Scale for Pain (VRSP). However, 20 nurses were excluded due to pain < 6 months (N = 4), pain > 6 point (N = 3), pain < 4 point (N = 2), unwillingness to attend in the study (N =2), training (N = 4) and receive pain management strategies (N = 5). Finally, 60 nurses were nominated to attend the exercise program and divided into 5 groups where each group consisted of 12 members and gone through the pre-test of VRSP. But 7 participants were discontinued the program due to their pregnancy, death of parents and illness. Therefore, finally 53 nurses were received intervention for the next 5 weeks with 1 week follow up according to exercise program schedule under the guidance of a physiotherapist. The exercise program included relaxation of spinal muscles. maintenance of spinal curvature, improvement of lumber lordotic curvature, stretches postural adjustment and maintenance of spinal mobility. Each group was participated in the exercise program once in a week within 20 minutes of session. A total of 5 sessions were allocated for each participant. The study participants were given advice to continue the learned exercise program on a daily basis at home for remaining 6 days in a week.

After the exercise program schedule, the researcher distributed self-administered questionnaires to the participants. The researcher met with each participant individually at their workplace and collected post-test data from them using VRSP. The self-administered questionnaire had three sections. Section I was designed to obtain information about the sociodemographic (SDQ) profile of nurses, section II was related to Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ) and section III was related to 11 points of Verbal Rating Scale for pain (VRSP) assessment. After collection of data, the statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS (version 22.0). The descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, mean, SD was used to measure the socio-demographic characteristics of nurses and other major variables. Paired sample t-test was used to compare pre and posttest means score within the group. Independent sample t test was used to compare the association between nurses' characteristics and pre-posttest LBP score. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

The proposal was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the National Institute of Advanced Nursing Education and Research (NIANER) and Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) in Dhaka, Bangladesh, prior to data collection. Permission was obtained from a selected University Medical College Hospital via a written order signed by the Directors of NIANER and Mugda Medical College Hospital, Mugda, Dhaka. Participants both verbal and written consent were taken after explaining the aim of this study and ensuring the confidentiality and anonymity. Both the consent form and questionnaire were translated into Bengali language for avoiding error in data collection.

RESULTS

This part study of the study represents the sociodemographic profile of respondents and their baseline data by using NMQ. In addition, this section illustrates the pre and post-test status of LBP by using VRSP and thus, shows the effectiveness of exercise programs to reduce LBP among nurses before and after the intervention. Further, it is presented whether the pre-



VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

and post-test status of LBP is associated with the socio-

demographic profile of the nurses and whether there

OCLC - 1121105510

Crossref 💩 😵 Google 🏷 World Cat® 💦 MENDELEY

are any influencing variables on LBP if there is a relationship.

Table 1. Distribution of Characteristics of Nurses of Socio-demographic									
	(N =								
Variables	Categories	Ν	%	Mean ± SD					
Age (Years)	< 38	36	67.9	37.53±6.00					
	> 38	17	32.1						
BMI (Body Mass	18.5 – 24.9 (Normal)	16	30.2	26.77±3.30					
Index)	25 – 39.9 (Obese)	37	69.5						
Religion	Islam	37	69.8						
	Others	16	30.2						
Marital Status	Married	53	100						
Number of	One child	18	34						
Children	More than one child	35	66	1.68±0.75					
Highest level of	Basic Education in	48	90.6						
professional	Nursing								
education	Higher Education in	5	9.4						
	Nursing								
Professional	Senior Staff Nurse	45	84.9						
designation	Nursing Supervisor	4A	15.1						
Working Area	Specialized Unit	26	49.1						
	General Unit	27	50.9						
Monthly family	< 83000	34	64.2	82754.72±24840.19					
income (BDTK*)	> 83000	19	35.8						
Working	< 16	32	60.4	16.17±5.56					
experience (Years)	> 16	21	39.6						

*BDTK= Bangladeshi Taka





VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

🖕 Crossref 💶 🔽

Soogle 5 WorldCat Mendeley

Table 1 shows the mean age of the nurses was 37.53 ± 6 years where more than half (67.9%) of the participants were in the age group of less than 38 years. The mean \pm SD BMI of the nurses was 26.77 ± 3.3 , indicating that they were all overweight. Even still, the majority of nurses (69.80%) were discovered to be overweight. All of the nurses were married, with a majority being Muslims (69.8%). It was found that majority of nurses (92.5%) had children where the mean number of children was 1.68 (SD = 0.75). Most of nurses

(90.6%) had held diploma to BSc in nursing degree. About 84.9% of nurses held the position of senior staff nurse. Nearly half (49%) of the nurses were employed in specialist units, compared to roughly half (51%) who worked on general wards. The nurses' average monthly family income was 82754.72 (SD = 24840.19) Bangladeshi Taka, and they had an average of 16.17 (SD=5.56) years of work experience. The majority of nurses (60.4%) have less than 16 years of professional experience.

	Others	4	7.5	
Table 2 show	ws that all of the participants had LBP and fa	aced troubles with r	ormal activities. Nearly half o	of the nurses
(47.2%) cons	sulted with doctors for LBP treatment and a	round 81% nurses ha	ad been facing troubles for th	e last 7 days
with LBP du	uring data collection period. The mean suffe	ering duration of LB	P was 5.15 (SD = 4.18) years.	Considering
associated h	nealth problems, most of the nurses (62.3%)) had no underlying	health problems. However, s	some nurses
showed som	ne health problems such as Diabetics Mellitu	is (11.3%), Hypertens	ion (19%), Obesity (11.3%) and c	others (7.5%)
respectively.	<i>.</i>			

Table 2. Nurses baseline data	a by using	, NMQ	
Variables	Res	(N = 53)	Mean + SD
variables	N	%	-
Have LBP	53	100	
Have troubles normal activities for LBP	53	100	
Have seen a physician for LBP	28	47.2	
Have troubles last 7 days for LBP	43	81.1	
Duration of pain (year)			5.15±4.18
Diabetes	6	11.3	
Hypertension	10	18.9	
Obesity	6	11.3	
Nothing JOURN	33	62.3	
Others	4	7.5	



😵 Google 🌀 WorldCat* 💦 MENDELEY

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 286) (2021: 5. 64) (2022: 6. 319) (2023: 7. 396)

OCLC - 1121105510

Crossref do

Mean ± SD 	Verbal Rating Scale for Pain (VRSP)								Variables						
	Intense pain (6)		Very distressing painful (5)		Distressi ng painful (4)		Uncomforta bly pain (2) (3) Uncomforta e Pain (3)		pain Very mild pain (1)		pain	No pain (0)			
	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	
5.40±0.74	54.7	29	30.2	16	15.1	8									Pre-test (VRSP)
1.51±1.27					7.5	4	17	9	20.8	11	28. 3	15	26. 4	14	Post-test (VRSP)
	<u>%</u> 54.7	<u>N</u> 29	% 30.2	N 16	% 15.1 7.5	N 8 4	% 17	<u>N</u> 9	% 20.8	N 11	% 28. 3	N 15	% 26. 4	N 14	Pre-test (VRSP) Post-test (VRSP)

Table 3. Status of LBP among nurses before and after exercise program (N = 53)

which indicated moderate level of pain and the pain score ranged from distressing pain to intense Pain. Above half of the nurses (54.7%) had intense pain while only 15% nurses had distressing pain. In the post-test, the mean pain score was 1.51 (SD = 1.27) out of maximum 10 points which indicates mild pain and the pain score was ranged from no pain to distressing pain. Among the participants, about quarter of the nurses (26.4%) had no pain, nearly one third of the nurses (28.3%) had very mild pain, (20.8%) nurses felt uncomfortable pain. However, few number of nurses (17%) had tolerable pain and least of the nurses (7.5%) had distressing pain.

Table 4. Effectiveness of exercise program to reduce LBP among nurses before and after the intervention

					(N = 53)
Intervention			ercise Program	l	
Variable	Pre-test	Post-test	$M_d \pm SD_d^*$	Т	Р
	Mean ±SD	Mean±SD			
LBP	5.40±0.74	1.51 ± 1.27	3.89 ± 0.53	- 20.68	0.000



Table 4 shows the differences in nurses' pre- and posttest LBP scores. Nurses had a mean pre-test LBP score of 5.40 (SD = 0.74) and a post-test LBP score of 1.51 (SD = 1.27). The mean difference was 3.89, indicating that there was a significant difference between the before and after intervention scores. A significant mean

difference between pre and post-test of VRSP was found in a matched paired t-test analysis among nurses with LBP (t = - 20.68, p= 0.001). As a result, after a 5week exercise program with a 1-week follow-up session, nurses' LBP was statistically significantly reduced.



VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510



Soogle S WorldCat Mendeley



Table 5. Relationship between Socio-demographic Characteristics and pre- and post-test of VRSP among Nurses										
(N = 53)VariablesCategoriesPre-testPost-test										
		Mean±SD	t(p)	Mean±SD	t(p)					
Age (years)	< 38	5.44±0.69	0.68 (0.49)	1.50±1.23	-0.07(0.94)					
	> 38	5.29±0.84		1.53 ± 1.37						
BMI (Body Mass Index)	18.5 - 24.9 (Normal)	5.56±0.63	1.07 (0.29)	1.31±1.25	-0.74(0.46)					
	25 - 39.9 (Obese)	5.32±0.78		1.59±1.28						
Monthly family	< 83 <mark>0</mark> 00	5.29±0.79	-1.35(0.18)	1.62±1.39	0.91(0.37)					
income (BDTK*)	> <mark>830</mark> 00	5.58±0.61		1.32±1.00						
Working experience (years)	< 16	5.44±0.72	0.50(0.62)	1.63±1.21	0.82(0.42)					
experience (years)	> 16	5.33±0.79		1.33±1.35						
Religion	<mark>Isl</mark> am	5.38±0.79	-0.26(0.79)	1.59±1.32	0.74(0.46)					
	Others	5.44±0.63		<u>1.31±1.</u> 14						
Number of children	One child	5.33±0.77	-0.44(0.66)	0.94±0.99	-2.66(0.01)					
	More than one child	5.43±0.74		1.80±1.3						
Professional Education	Basic Education in Nursing	5.42±0.71	0.43(0.69)	1.60±1.28	3.27(0.009)					
	Higher Education in Nursing	5.20±1.09	12	1.53±0.55						
Professional Designation	Senior Staff Nurse	5.33±0.77	-2.09(0.06)	1.53±1.34	0.48(0.64)					
	Nursing Supervisor	5.75±0.46		1.38±0.74						
Working area	Critical unit	5.35±0.75	-0.48(0.64)	1.46±1.24	- 0.27(0.79)					
	General unit	5.44±0.75		1.56±1.31						

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

🍯 Crossref 🚺 🌠

🛛 🔀 Google 🌀 WorldCat" 💦 MENDELEY

In table 5, an independent t-test was used to investigate the relationships between sociodemographic characteristics and pre- and post-test VRSP. Pre-test analysis revealed that participants' age (p=0.49), BMI (p=0.29), monthly family income (p=0.18), working experience (p=0.62), religion (p=0.79), number of children (p=0.67), professional education (p=0.69), professional designation (p=0.06), and working area (p=0.64) were all non-significant. However, in post-test analysis, the number of nurses' children (p=0.01) and professional education (p=0.009) were statistically significant with VRSP. In post-test analysis, the number of nurses' children was statistically significant with VRSP (t = -2.66, p < 0.05). On the other hand, nurses with basic professional education had lower LBP after exercise programs than those with higher professional education (t = 3.27, p <0.01). As opposed to, age, BMI, monthly family income, working experience, religion, professional designation, and working area were all unrelated to VRSP in post-test analysis.

DISCUSSION

This study tried to investigate the effectiveness of exercise program on LBP through conducting quasi experimental study design among nurses working at a medical college hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh.

It was found that all nurses eligible for the pre- and post-intervention of this study had LBP and it made it difficult for them to perform their normal activities. This finding highlights the suitability of the study participants and the credibility of the study. Patino and Ferreira (2018) stated that broad inclusion criteria that produce a study population that more closely mimics patients in real-world settings can boost validity of a study [29]. They again opined that the reliability of a research study's findings in predicting actual outcomes among people who behave similarly outside of the

study is referred to as validity. In this regard, the current study can be considered valid as it has yielded very similar results to a previous study conducted in Egypt in terms of the presence of LBP among nurses [30]. Gim (2017) identified heavy workload, long duty hours and improper body handling of patients in health care setting as the contributing factors for the occurrence of LBP among nurses [11]. Unlike a previous study [3], the current study's mean suffering duration of LBP was 5.15 years among nurses which indicates their unawareness about health, lack of support staff, huge workload and inappropriate management strategies in Bangladesh. On the other hand, some of the nurses in this study had diabetes, hypertension, obesity, and other health-related problems along with LBP which may signify an association among them. However, no association test was done among these variables which can be considered as the limitation of this study.

To observe the effectiveness of exercise programs on LBP among nurses, participants in this study underwent an exercise program schedule for 5 weeks. Before starting and after completing the exercise program, a pre- and post-test was conducted. The results showed that the LBP of the nurses changed from moderate to mild as a result of the exercise program, indicating the effectiveness of the exercise program in reducing LBP. Similarly, the usefulness of exercise programs on LBP among nurses was also evident in some previous authors' studies [2, 31]. On the other hand, looking at the effectiveness of this study's exercise program, it was found to be more effective than the exercise program in other studies. For instance, Pakbaz et al., 2019 showed that the LBP score of nurses after the back school exercise program was 4.03 [2] which reduced minimum pain whereas the current study's exercise program reduced maximum pain as the mean LBP score of nurses after the



VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

🖕 Crossref 🧔 🗧

Soogle S WorldCat MENDELEY

intervention was 1.51. Although, an exercise program namely "Acharya" was found similarly effective as the present study exercise program in reducing LBP in nurses as the mean LBP score of nurses after applying the "Acharya" program was 1.67 for Intensive Care Unit and 2.40 for Operation Theater [31].

The present study illustrated that number of nurses' children (p<0.05) and professional education (p<0.01) were statistically significantly different with VRSP in post-test analysis. It indicates that nurses having low number of children had experienced reducing their LBP after receiving 5 weeks' exercise programs effectively (t = -2.66, p < 0.05). Functional changes of the spine might be reason of this finding. On the other hand, nurses who had basic professional education had been noticed the reduction of LBP significantly after receiving exercise programs than those who had higher professional education (t = 3.27, p<0.01). The finding is nearly consistent with pervious study conducted by Ibrahim and Elsaay (2015) in Egypt [3]. However, unlike a prior study [31], this study did not find any relationship between LBP and nurses' age, BMI, monthly family income, working experiences, religion, working area, and professional designation, which is suspicious, therefore, a comprehensive study focusing on the socio-demographic characteristic of LBP among nurses is suggested to carry out.

CONCLUSION

The study showed a significant difference between the pre-and post-test of nurses with LBP. Results also presented that the professional education and number of nurses' children were statistically significantly correlated with the post-test of nurses with LBP. Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that this program can be suitable for preventing and reducing pain among nurses working in hospital settings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of National Institute of Advanced Nursing Education and Research (NIANER) and Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), and the authority of Mugda Medical College Hospital for their cordial support throughout the study.

REFERENCES

3.

5.

- 1. Vrbanić TS-L. Low back pain--from definition to diagnosis. Reumatizam. 2011;58(2):105–7.
- Pakbaz M, Hosseini MA, Aemmi SZ, Gholami S. Effectiveness of the back school program on the low back pain and functional disability of Iranian nurse. J Exerc Rehabil [Internet]. 2019;15(1):134–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.12965/jer.1836542.271
 - Jaromi M, Kukla A, Szilagyi B, Simon-Ugron A, Bobaly VK, Makai A, et al. Back school programme for nurses has reduced low back pain levels: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of clinical nursing. 2018;27(5–6).

4. Tosunoz IK, Oztunc G. Low back pain in nurses. Int J Caring Sci. 2017;10(3):1728–32.

Sandhya RV, Kumari MJ, Sheela AM. Prevalence of low back pain and knowledge on body mechanics among the staff nurses in a tertiary care hospital. International Journal of Advanced Research. 2015;3(9):928–34.

Sun J, He Z, Wang S. Prevalence and risk factors of occupational low back pain in ICU nurses. Zhonghua lao dong wei sheng zhi ye bing za zhi Zhonghua laodong weisheng zhiyebing zazhi. Chinese journal of industrial hygiene and occupational diseases. 2007;25:453–5.

 Ibrahim MI, Zubair IU, Yaacob NM, Ahmad MI, Shafei MN. Low back pain and its associated



VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

Crossref 🕺 🔀 Google 🏷 World Cat' 💦 MENDELEY

factors among nurses in public hospitals of Penang, Malaysia. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2019;16(21):4254. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214254

- Sanjoy SS, Ahsan GU, Nabi H, Joy ZF, Hossain A.
 Occupational factors and low back pain: a cross-sectional study of Bangladeshi female nurses. BMC Res Notes [Internet]. 2017;10(1).
 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2402-1
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2492-1
- 9. Rathore FA, Attique R, Asmaa Y. Prevalence and perceptions of musculoskeletal disorders among hospital nurses in Pakistan: A crosssectional survey. Cureus [Internet]. 2017;9(1):e1001. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1001
- 10. Tinubu BMS, Mbada CE, Oyeyemi AL, Fabunmi AA. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among nurses in Ibadan, South-west Nigeria: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Musculoskelet Disord [Internet]. 2010;11(1):12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-11-12
- Gim CS. Factors associated with low back pain among nurses in critical care units, hospital Universiti sains Malaysia. Biomed J Sci Tech Res [Internet]. 2017;1(7). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.26717/bjstr.2017.01.000613
- 12. Islam MJ, Haseen F, Saha SK, Khasru MR, Morshed M, Salek AKM. Prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among medical professionals working in selected tertiary hospitals in Dhaka city. Bangla J Neurosurgery [Internet]. 2020;9(2):135–41. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/bjns.v9i2.44889
- Emmanuel NM, Ezhilarasu P, Bheemarao AB. Low back pain among nurses in a tertiary hospital, south India. Journal of Osteoporosis and Physical Activity. 2015;1–3.

Johnson O, Edward E. Prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among workers in a health facility in south–south Nigeria. Br J Med Med Res [Internet]. 2016;11(8):1–8. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/bjmmr/2016/20785

 15. Şimşek Ş. Prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among health-care workers in denizli. Agri [Internet]. 2017; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5505/agri.2017.32549

- 16. Clark S, Horton R. Low back pain: a major global challenge. Lancet [Internet].
 2018;391(10137):2302. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30725-6
- Mekonnen TH. Work-related factors associated with low back pain among nurse professionals in east and west Wollega zones, western Ethiopia, 2017: A cross-sectional study. Pain Ther [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 Oct 17];8(2):239–47. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40122 -019-0129-x/tables/2
- Ahmadi M, Rezaiee J, Hashemian AH.
 Prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among nurses in an Iranian Hospital. Adv Biol Res. 2012;8(4):168–70.
- **19.** Muhammed AF, Ladan AM, Musa HA, Garba AM. Work related risk factors for lower back pain among nurses in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital (ABUTH). Zaria-Nigeria; 2015
- 20. Choobineh A, Rajaeefard A, Neghab M. Association between perceived demands and musculoskeletal disorders among hospital nurses of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences: a questionnaire survey. Int J Occup Saf Ergon [Internet]. 2006;12(4):409–16. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2006.11076 699



VOLUME 05 ISSUE 02 Pages: 08-19

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: **5. 286**) (2021: **5. 64**) (2022: **6. 319**) (2023: **7. 396**)

OCLC - 1121105510

🖕 Crossref 🕺 🔀 Google 🦃 World Cat' 💦 MENDELEY

- Li L, Deng X, Zhang H, Yang H, Chen J, Hou X, et al. A cross-sectional survey of low back pain in nurses working in orthopedic departments. Workplace Health Saf [Internet]. 2019;67(5):218–30. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2165079918807231
- Al-Mutairi MD. Quality of life among nurses with low back pain: A review. Open J Nurs [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 Oct 17];09(11):1138–42. Available from: https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformati on.aspx?paperid=96675
- 23. Moore RA, Edwards JE, Barden J, Mcquay HJ. Bandolier's little book of pain. Oxford University Press; 2013.
- 24. Menzel NN, Lilley S, Robinson ME. Interventions to reduce back pain in rehabilitation hospital nursing staff. Rehabil Nurs [Internet]. 2006;31(4):138–47; discussion 148. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.2048-7940.2006.tb00377.x
- 25. Callahan LF, Mielenz T, Freburger J, Shreffler J, Hootman J, Brady T, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the people with arthritis can exercise program: symptoms, function, physical activity, and psychosocial outcomes. Arthritis Rheum [Internet]. 2008;59(1):92–101. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.23239
- 26. Hayden JA, Van Tulder MW, Malmivaara AV, Koes BW. Meta analysis: exercise therapy for nonspecific low back pain. Annals of internal medicine. 2005;142(9).
- 27. Chen H-M, Wang H-H, Chen C-H, Hu H-M. Effectiveness of a stretching exercise program on low back pain and exercise self-efficacy among nurses in Taiwan: a randomized clinical trial. Pain Manag Nurs [Internet].

2014;15(1):283–91. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2012.10.003

- 28. Lachenbruch PA, Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (2nd ed.). J Am Stat Assoc [Internet]. 1989;84(408):1096. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2290095
- 29. Patino CM, Ferreira JC. Internal and external validity: can you apply research study results to your patients? J Bras Pneumol [Internet]. 2018;44(3):183. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1806-37562018000000164
- 30. Ibrahim R, Elsaay OEAE. The effect of body mechanics training program for intensive care nurses in reducing low back pain. IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science. 2015;4(5):81–96.

31.

Yadav LR, Sharma B. A quasi-experimental study to assess the impact of the Acharya Technique on lower back pain among nurses working in ICU and OT at selected hospitals, gurugram. Int J Sci Res (Raipur) [Internet]. Available from:

https://www.ijsr.net/archive/v9i6/SR20603210 844.pdf



