TASK-BASED LEARNING VS TRADITIONAL GRAMMAR INSTRUCTION: A COMPARATIVE STUDY

Khujamkulov Azizbek Ozodovich
Chirchik State Pedagogical University, student
E-mail: xojamqulov24@icloud.com
Chirchik State Pedagogical University, teacher
Akhmedova Muyassar Ataxanovna
Scientific advisor

Abstract: This think about investigates the adequacy of Task-Based Learning (TBL) in comparison to Conventional Language structure Instruction (TGI) in instructing outside dialects. Whereas TGI centers on unequivocal linguistic use rules and organized works out, TBL emphasizes communication and real-life assignments to create dialect capability. The inquire about analyzes how these approaches affect students' linguistic precision, familiarity, and inspiration. A comparative examination based on exploratory information from two learner bunches uncovers that TBL upgrades communicative competence and engagement, while TGI gives a solid establishment in linguistic rules but may restrain down to earth dialect utilize. The discoveries propose that an coordinates approach might maximize dialect securing benefits.

Keywords: Task-Based learning, traditional grammar instruction, language teaching, communicative competence, grammar accuracy.

Task-Based Learning (TBL) – is a language teaching approach that focuses on completing meaningful tasks rather than explicitly studying grammar rules. The primary goal of this method is to develop learners' communicative competence and prepare them for real-life interactions [5]. This work outlines a structured model for implementing TBL in classrooms, emphasizing the importance of pre-task, task cycle, and post-task reflection.

Key features of Task-Based Learning:

- Task-centered approach – students engage in tasks (e.g., conducting interviews, simulating shopping, solving problems) that help them acquire the language naturally;
- Goal-Oriented learning the focus is on achieving a specific outcome through communication rather than just learning grammar rules;
- Interactive and social learning – students work in pairs or groups, which enhances their communication skills;
- Authentic Language Use – tasks are based on real-life situations, making language learning more practical;
- Outcome-Based Assessment – success is measured by how well students complete the task rather than grammatical accuracy alone.

Structure of Task-Based Learning Pre-task (Preparation Phase). The teacher introduces the topic. Key vocabulary and expressions are provided. A model or guidelines for the task are given. Task Cycle (Task Completion Phase). Students perform the task. They may work in pairs or groups. The teacher observes without interfering. Post-task (Analysis and Reflection Phase) students present their results. The teacher discusses the outcomes and explains key grammatical aspects. Common language errors are reviewed.

Advantages of Task-Based learning: Encourages natural language acquisition. Develops independent thinking and problem-solving skills. Makes lessons engaging and interactive,

increasing motivation. Ensures meaningful language learning experiences.

Feature	Task-Based Learning	Traditional Grammar Instruction
Main Goal	Developing communicative competence Teaching grammar rules	Teaching grammar rules
Learning Method	Learning through practical tasks	Theoretical explanations and exercises
Teacher's Role	Facilitator, observer	Primary information provider
Student's Role	Active participant, independent thinker	Passive listener
Assessment Criteria	Task completion success	Grammatical accuracy

1- Comparison: Task-Based learning vs. Traditional Grammar Instruction

Overall, Task-Based Learning is a modern and effective approach that enables learners to acquire language in a natural and interactive way. Many experts consider it more beneficial than traditional grammar-focused instruction.

Traditional Grammar Instruction (TGI) – is a language teaching approach that emphasizes the explicit teaching of grammatical rules, structures, and syntax. In this method, students learn a language primarily through direct instruction, memorization, and structured exercises rather than through communicative practice. The focus is on accuracy, correctness, and mastering grammatical forms before applying them in real-life situations [3]. Richards and Rodgers describe Traditional Grammar Instruction as a method that emphasizes explicit teaching of grammatical rules, memorization, and translation exercises. They highlight how the Grammar-Translation Method dominated language teaching for centuries, prioritizing accuracy over fluency.

Key Features of Traditional Grammar Instruction:
- Rule-Based Learning – Students first learn grammatical rules before using them in sentences;
- Teacher-Centered Approach – The teacher plays a central role, providing explanations and correcting errors;
- Focus on Accuracy – Emphasis is placed on correct grammar usage, often through repetitive exercises;
- Structured Lessons – Lessons follow a step-by-step process, typically including rule explanation, example sentences, and practice exercises;
- Limited Real-Life Application – Since communication is not the main focus, students may struggle to use the language fluently in real-world situations.

Structure of Traditional Grammar Instruction: Explanation Phase. The teacher explains a specific grammatical rule. Example sentences are provided to illustrate the rule. Practice Phase. Students complete drills and exercises, such as gap-filling or sentence transformation. Focus remains on accuracy and correctness. Application Phase. Students attempt to use the rule in controlled writing or speaking activities. The teacher provides corrections and feedback.

Advantages of Traditional Grammar Instruction provides a strong foundation in grammar

rules. Helps students understand language structure systematically. Useful for academic and formal writing. Disadvantages of Traditional Grammar Instruction may not develop fluency and communicative competence. Often relies on rote memorization rather than meaningful interaction. Can be less engaging and motivating for students. While Traditional Grammar Instruction is effective in teaching grammatical accuracy, it may not fully prepare students for real-world communication. Therefore, many modern language educators integrate it with communicative approaches like Task-Based Learning to achieve a balance between accuracy and fluency.

Language teaching – is the process of instructing and facilitating the acquisition of a language, focusing on developing learners' abilities in listening, speaking, reading, and writing. It involves the use of various methodologies, techniques, and instructional strategies to help learners understand and effectively communicate in a new language [1]. Brown highlights that language teaching is not just about grammar and vocabulary but also about developing learners' communicative competence. He emphasizes the importance of meaningful interaction, motivation, and learner-centered approaches in modern language teaching. He categorizes language teaching methods into explicit (focus on rules) and implicit (focus on communication) approaches.

Key Aspects of Language Teaching:

- Linguistic Competence – Teaching grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and sentence structure;
- Communicative Competence Emphasizing real-life language use, fluency, and interaction.

Methodologies – Includes approaches like Task-Based Learning (TBL), Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Grammar-Translation Method, and Direct Method. Skills Development – Enhancing listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills. Assessment and Evaluation – Measuring students' progress through tests, assignments, and practical applications. Purpose of Language Teaching: To enable effective communication in a second or foreign language. To develop both accuracy (grammatical correctness) and fluency (natural expression). To support cultural understanding and global interaction. Language teaching can be adapted based on learners' needs, goals, and proficiency levels, making it a dynamic and evolving field in education.

Communicative competence refers to the ability to use a language effectively and appropriately in various social and cultural contexts. It goes beyond just knowing grammatical rules; it includes the skills needed to communicate meaningfully, understand others, and respond appropriately in real-life situations. Components of Communicative Competence. Grammatical Competence – Knowledge of grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and sentence structure. Sociolinguistic Competence – The ability to use language appropriately in different social contexts (e.g., formal vs. informal speech). Discourse Competence – The ability to connect sentences coherently and cohesively in conversations, speeches, or written texts. Strategic Competence – The ability to overcome communication barriers using strategies like rephrasing, gestures, or asking for clarification. Importance of Communicative Competence: Helps learners engage in real-life conversations effectively. Enhances fluency and confidence in using the language. Encourages cultural awareness and appropriate language use. Modern language teaching methods, such as Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and Task-Based Learning (TBL), prioritize communicative competence to ensure learners can use the language naturally

and effectively [2]. Canale and Swain expanded Hymes' work by breaking communicative competence into four components: Grammatical Competence – Knowledge of grammar, vocabulary, and sentence structure. Sociolinguistic Competence – Understanding how to use language appropriately in different cultural and social situations. Discourse Competence – the ability to connect sentences and ideas coherently. Strategic Competence – the ability to repair communication breakdowns using strategies like paraphrasing or asking for clarification. They argued that language teaching should focus not only on grammatical rules but also on real-life communication skills.

Grammar accuracy refers to the correct use of grammatical rules, including sentence structure, verb tenses, word order, agreement, and punctuation in spoken or written language. It ensures clarity, precision, and correctness in communication by minimizing errors that could lead to misunderstandings [4]. Define grammar accuracy as the correct use of grammatical structures in speech and writing. State that grammar accuracy is often measured by error-free production and is a key focus in traditional language teaching approaches. Emphasize that while grammar accuracy is important, communicative competence also requires fluency and the ability to convey meaning effectively.

Key aspects of Grammar Accuracy:
- Morphology – Correct usage of word forms (e.g., plural/singular nouns, verb conjugations);
- Syntax – Proper sentence structure and word order;
- Subject-Verb Agreement – Ensuring the subject and verb match in number and tense;
- Tense and Aspect – Using verb tenses correctly based on the time of action. Punctuation and Spelling – Proper use of commas, periods, capitalization, and spelling rules.

Importance of Grammar Accuracy improves clarity and professionalism in communication. Enhances credibility in academic and professional settings. Reduces ambiguity and misinterpretation in written and spoken language. While grammar accuracy is important, fluency and communicative competence are equally crucial in real-life communication. Modern language teaching often balances accuracy with meaningful interaction to develop both correct and natural language use.

Conclusion

Dialect educating has advanced from Conventional Linguistic use Instruction (TGI), which prioritizes language structure precision, to more intuitively approaches like Task-Based Learning (TBL), which emphasize communicative competence. Whereas TGI gives a solid establishment in linguistic use rules through organized works out, it frequently needs real-life appropriateness. In differentiate, TBL cultivates familiarity and common dialect utilize by locks in learners in important assignments, improving their capacity to communicate viably in different social and social settings. A well-rounded dialect learning approach requires a adjust between language structure exactness and communicative competence. Language structure precision guarantees clarity and rightness, while communicative competence empowers learners to utilize dialect fittingly and viably in real-life circumstances. Coordination both rule-based learning and task-based techniques can give learners with a comprehensive and successful dialect learning encounter. Eventually, fruitful dialect educating ought to prepare learners not as it were with syntactic information but too with the capacity to apply that information in real-world communication.

Foydalanilgan adabiyotlar/Используемая литература/References:

1. Brown, H. Douglas. "Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy" (Pearson Education), 2007.

2. Canale, Michael & Swain, Merrill "Theoretical Bases of Communicative Approaches to Second Language Teaching and Testing", 1980.

3. Richards, Jack C., & Rodgers, Theodore S. "Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching". Cambridge University Press, 2001.

4. Richards, Jack C., & Schmidt, Richard. "Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics (Pearson)", 2010.

5. Willis Jane "A Framework for Task-Based Learning", 1996.

6. Abdurasulov J. и Pardabayeva , M. 2024. MUSOBAQADAN OLDIN SPORTCHILARNI PSIXOLOGIK TAYYORLASH. *Евразийский журнал социальных наук, философии и культуры*. 4, 6 Part 2 (июн. 2024), 73–76.

7. Sharipova, N. (2023). PHONETICS: UNLOCKING THE SOUNDS OF LANGUAGE. B ZDIT (Т. 2, Выпуск 27, сс. 22–24). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10321056

8. Турсунов А. 2022 ЧАҚИРУВГА ҚАДАР БОШЛАНҒИЧ ТАЙЁРГАРЛИК МАШҒУЛОТЛАРИДА ПЕДАГОГИК ВА ИННОВАЦИОН ТЕХНОЛОГИЯЛАРНИ ҚЎЛЛАШ ВА УЛАРНИНГ ЎЗИГА ХОС ТОМОНЛАРИ Science and innovation, 1(B3), 432- 434

9. Sharipova Nodira Shavkat Qizi, . (2024). TEACHING ENGLISH TO YOUNG LEARNERS USING CLIL METHOD IN CLASS. International Journal of Pedagogics, 4(06), 161–164. https://doi.org/10.37547/ijp/Volume04Issue06-29 .

10. Abdurasulov, J. (2024). PEDAGOGICAL PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF MILITARY PATRIOTIC EDUCATION IN GENERAL SECONDARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS. B INTERNATIONAL BULLETIN OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (Т. 4, Выпуск 7, сс. 38–40). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12721051

11. Abdurasulov J. 2024. HARBIY PEDAGOGIKANING BOSHQA FANLAR BILAN ALOQASI. *Молодые ученые*. 2, 6 (фев. 2024), 48–52.

12. Jo'rayev , S. ., & Abdurasulov, J. (2024). SUBJECT, TASKS AND CONTENT OF STUDYING THE BASICS OF MILITARY-PATRIOTIC EDUCATION. Академические исследования в современной науке, 3(7), 149–153.извлечено.

13. Абдурасулов, Ж. (2022). ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫХТЕХНОЛОГИЙ В УСВОЕНИИ УРОКОВ ИСТОРИИ.

14. Abduqodirova, D. и Abdurasulov, J. 2025. YOSHLARDA HARBIY-VATANPARVARLIK TUYG'USINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING PSIXOLOGIK HUSUSIYATLARI. *Педагогика и психология в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования*. 4, 1 (янв. 2025), 50–53.

15. Жўраев , Ш. ., & Абдурасулов , Ж. (2024). ҲАРБИЙ ЖАМОАДАГИ ИЖТИМОИЙ ФИКР. Журнал академических исследований нового Узбекистана, 1(2), 97–103. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/yoitj/article/view/28151.

16. Abdurasulov , J. (2022). HARBIY KOMPETENTSIYA KURSANTNING KASBIY MAHORATINI 54 SHAKLLANTIRISHNING KALITI SIFATIDA. Евразийский журнал социальных наук, философии и культуры, 2(11), 231–234. извлечено от https://inacademy.uz/index.php/ejsspc/article/view/5326 17.

17. Abdurasulov , J. 2022. CHAQIRUVGA QADAR BOSHLANG'ICH TAYYORGARLIK FANI O'QITUVCHISIGA QO'YILADIGAN TALABLAR. *Евразийский журнал академических исследований*. 2, 11 (окт. 2022), 983–988.

18. Абдурасулов , Ж. (2024). ҲАРБИЙ ЖАМОАНИНГ ПСИХОЛОГИК АСПЕКТЛАРИ. Бюллетень педагогов нового Узбекистана, 2(2), 59–65

19. Abduqodirova Dilobarbonu Erkinjon Qizi TALABALARDA PSIXOLOGIK STRESSNI YENGISH // YOPA. 2025. №2. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/talabalarda-psixologik-stressni-yengish (дата обращения: 02.04.2025).

20. K.O. Arzibayev, V.Sh.Rahimov Effects On Performance By Electronic Training Equipment For Young Karatists. «Psychologi and education» Journal (2021) 58(2): 11488-11490 ISSN: 00333077

21. K.O. Arzibayev, V.Sh.Rahimov Influence of Basketball on the Mental and Physical Development of the Personality. «International Journal of Future of Generation Communication and Networking » Vol. 14. No. 1. (2021). pp. : 768-772 ISSN: 2233-7857 IJFGCN

22. Arzibaev, K. O., & Rafiqov, A. U. (2021). THE ROLE OF MATHEMATICS IN SPORTS. In АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ УСЛОВИЯХ (pp. 5-8).

23. Arzibaev, K. O., & Tanikulova, Z. R. (2021). MECHANISMS FOR IMPROVING THE LEGAL KNOWLEDGE OF ATHLETES. In АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ УСЛОВИЯХ (pp. 117-119).

24. Arzibaev, K. O., & Elmurodova, M. U. (2021). DEVELOPMENT IN SPORTS USING UNIQUE TECHNIQUES. In АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ УСЛОВИЯХ (pp. 3-5).

25. ARZIBAYEV, Q. (2024). O 'SMIR SPORTCHILARDA MAQSADGA ERISHISH MOTIVATSIYASI TADQIQI. News of the NUUz, 1(1.9), 54-57.

26. Арзибаев, К. О. (2015). РОЛЬ МОТИВАЦИИ В ЕДИНОБОРСТВЕ. Наука и мир, (3-3), 103-105.

27. Арзибаев, К. О., & Аралов, С. А. (2016). КОНСТИТУЦИОННЫЕ ОСНОВЫ ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ В УЗБЕКИСТАНЕ. Наука и мир, 3(1), 53-54.

28. Арзибаев, Қ. О., & Акбарова, Д. Р. (2016). Проблема здорового образа жизни в исследованиях. Наука и мир, (3-3), 77-78.

29. Арзибаев, К. О. (2013). МОТИВАЦИЯ ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ КАК ВАЖНЫЙ ФАКТОР СПОРТИВНОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ. SCIENCE AND WORLD, 93.

30. Арзибаев, К., & Бердалиева, Ю. (2013). ВЛИЯНИЕ МЕЖЛИЧНОСТНЫХ ОТНОШЕНИЙ НА МОТИВАЦИЮ ЮНЫХ СПОРТСМЕНОВ. SCIENCE AND WORLD, 14.

31. Arzibayev, Q. (2023). THE PROBLEM OF MOTIVATION OF SPORT ACTIVITY IN THE ANALYSIS. European journal of education and applied psychology, (2), 82-89.

32. Arzibayev, Q. (2023). THE APPEARANCE OF MOTIVES FOR SPORTS ACTIVITY IN ANALYSIS. Modern Science and Research, 2(10), 694-704.

33. Arzibayev, K. O., & Aralov, S. A. (2016). Physical culture and social importance of sport in educating the young by the principles National Concept. In Scientific achievements of the third millennium (pp. 23-24).

34. Arzibayev, Q. (2023). O 'smir sportchilarda sport bilan shug 'ullanish motivlarini o'rganish. Sport ilm-fanining dolzarb muammolari, 1(3), 18-25.