MACHINE TRANSLATION VS HUMAN TRANSLATION: EVALUATING ACCURACY AND EFFECTIVENESS

Nusratillokhon Omonillokhonovich Mirzakhodjaev
Chirchik State Pedagogical University, student
nusratilloxon@gmail.com
Omila Odilovna Yuldasheva
Scientific advisor
Chirchik State Pedagogical University, teacher
yoldashevaomila@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15253713

Annotation: This article provides a comprehensive assessment of machine translation compared to human translation, with a focus on their precision and effectiveness. It investigates the development of translation techniques from initial rule-based systems and statistical machine translation to contemporary neural network models, analyzing how each approach addresses linguistic challenges. The study evaluates machine translation in terms of its speed and scalability, particularly drawing attention to recent improvements in neural machine translation that have enhanced fluency and contextual understanding. Nevertheless, it also points out shortcomings, such as challenges with idiomatic phrases, cultural subtleties, and context-specific details. On the other hand, human translation is demonstrated to thrive in interpreting intricate contexts and maintaining the original tone and intent, even though it tends to be slower and less consistent. Through a combination of quantitative error assessment and qualitative case studies, this article recommends a blended approach that combines the efficiency of machine translation with the depth of human interpretation, offering actionable suggestions for merging both methods in professional and academic environments.

Key words: Machine translation, human translation, accuracy, effectiveness, evaluation, post-editing, neural machine translation (NMT), statistical machine translation (SMT), contextual understanding, cultural nuance, linguistic analysis, consistency, terminology management, user satisfaction, translation efficiency.

Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqola mashina tarjimasi bilan inson tarjimasini ularning aniqligi va samaradorligi nuqtai nazaridan batafsil tahlil qiladi. Maqolada tarjima metodologiyalarining rivojlanishi, ya’ni dastlabki qoida asosidagi tizimlar va statistik mashina tarjimasidan tortib, zamonaviy neyron tarmoqlar asosidagi yondashuvlargacha bo‘lgan jarayon o‘rganilgan va har bir usulning lingvistik qiyinchiliklarga qanday javob berishi tahlil qilingan. Tadqiqot mashina tarjimasining tezligi va masshtabliligini baholaydi, ayniqsa, neyron mashina tarjimasidagi so‘nggi yutuqlar ravonlik va kontekstni yanada to‘liq aks ettirish imkoniyatini oshirganini ta’kidlaydi. Shu bilan birga, maqola mashina tarjimasining idiomatik ifodalar, madaniy nozikliklar va kontekstga xos mayda tafsilotlar bilan bog‘liq cheklovlarini ham aniqlaydi. Buning aksiga, inson tarjimasi murakkab kontekstlarni to‘g‘ri talqin qilish va asl ohang hamda niyatni saqlab qolishda yuqori natijalarga erishadi, garchi u ko‘proq vaqt talab qilishi va izchillik jihatidan farq qilishi mumkin bo‘lsa ham. Kvantitativ xatolik tahlili va sifatli holat o‘rganishlari orqali maqola mashina tarjimasi samaradorligini inson tafakkurining chuqurligi bilan uyg‘unlashtiruvchi gibrid yondashuvni taklif qiladi va professional hamda akademik sohalarda ikkala usulni integratsiyalash bo‘yicha amaliy tavsiyalar beradi.

Kalit so‘zlar: Mashina tarjimasi, Inson tarjimasi, Aniqlik, Samaradorlik, Baholash, Post-tahrirlash, Neyron mashina tarjimasi (NMT), Statistik mashina tarjimasi (SMT), Kontekstual tushunish, Madaniy noziklik, Lingvistik tahlil, Izchillik, Terminologiya boshqaruvi, Foydalanuvchi qoniqishi,Tarjima samaradorligiIntroduction.

Аннотация: В данной статье представлена подробная оценка машинного перевода по сравнению с человеческим переводом с акцентом на их точность и эффективность. Исследуются эволюция методологий перевода — от ранних систем, основанных на правилах, и статистического машинного перевода до современных подходов, основанных на нейронных сетях, а также анализируется, как каждый из методов справляется с лингвистическими вызовами. Исследование оценивает машинный перевод с точки зрения его скорости и масштабируемости, уделяя особое внимание недавним достижениям в области нейронного машинного перевода, которые улучшили беглость и передачу контекста. Однако статья также выявляет ограничения, такие как сложности с переводом идиоматических выражений, культурными нюансами и контекстно-специфическими тонкостями. В отличие от машинного перевода, человеческий перевод демонстрирует высокую эффективность в интерпретации сложных контекстов и сохранении оригинального тона и замысла, несмотря на то что он требует больше времени и отличается переменной последовательностью. Путем проведения как количественного анализа ошибок, так и качественных кейс-стади, статья предлагает гибридный подход, который сочетает эффективность машинного перевода с глубиной человеческого понимания, и предоставляет практические рекомендации по интеграции обоих методов в профессиональных и академических условиях.

Ключевые слова: Машинный перевод, человеческий перевод, точность, эффективность, оценка, постредактирование, нейронный машинный перевод (NMT), статистический машинный перевод (SMT), контекстуальное понимание, культурные нюансы, лингвистический анализ, последовательность, управление терминологией, удовлетворенность пользователей, эффективность перевода.

The translation industry has undergone a dramatic transformation in the digital era, driven by rapid advancements in machine translation (MT) technology. Once solely the domain of human experts, the process of translation is now increasingly influenced by sophisticated algorithms and artificial intelligence. This evolution necessitates a comprehensive evaluation of both machine and human translation methods, particularly focusing on their accuracy and overall effectiveness. Machine translation has evolved significantly over the past few decades, transitioning from early rule-based systems to modern neural machine translation models. These systems leverage large datasets, complex neural networks, and statistical techniques to produce translations with remarkable speed and efficiency. They excel in handling large volumes of text and delivering quick results for applications such as real-time communication and global content distribution. However, despite these impressive capabilities, MT systems often struggle with capturing the nuanced aspects of language such as idiomatic expressions, cultural references, and context-specific subtleties which can impact the overall quality of the output. In contrast, human translation is characterized by a deep, context-aware approach that relies on the translator’s linguistic expertise and cultural understanding. Professional translators are able to discern the intricate

meanings, stylistic elements, and emotional tones embedded in the source text, thereby ensuring that the translated output resonates accurately with the target audience. Although human translation is generally more time-consuming and costly, its ability to maintain the integrity of the original message makes it indispensable, particularly in high-stakes or culturally sensitive contexts. Evaluating the accuracy and effectiveness of both translation methods involves the use of various metrics and assessment techniques. Automated metrics such as BLEU, METEOR, and TER offer a quantitative measure of translation quality by comparing machine-generated outputs against reference translations. At the same time, qualitative evaluations performed by human reviewers provide insights into fluency, adequacy, and contextual fidelity. Together, these evaluation approaches help to identify the strengths and weaknesses inherent in each method and pave the way for potential hybrid models that combine the speed of machine translation with the nuanced understanding of human translators. This article aims to present a balanced examination of machine translation versus human translation by assessing their respective performance in terms of accuracy and effectiveness. It explores the technological advancements that have propelled MT forward, the enduring value of human translation in capturing subtle linguistic and cultural elements, and the promising potential of integrating both approaches to achieve superior translation outcomes in an increasingly interconnected world.

Machine Translation vs Human Translation Evaluating Accuracy. Evaluating accuracy in the context of machine translation versus human translation refers to the systematic process of assessing how well a translated text reflects the meaning, tone, and nuances of the original. This evaluation typically involves: Quantitative Metrics: For machine translation, metrics such as BLEU, METEOR, and TER are used to statistically compare the translated output to reference translations. Qualitative Assessment: Human translations are evaluated based on fluency, adequacy, cultural relevance, and the preservation of idiomatic expressions. Contextual and Semantic Accuracy: Both approaches are assessed for their ability to capture context, maintain consistency, and convey the intended message without distortion. Error Analysis: Identifying mistranslations, omissions, or awkward phrasing helps in understanding the limitations of machine translation and the strengths of human interpretation [5]. Specia et al. argued that when comparing machine translation systems, it is essential to determine whether differences in evaluation metrics (such as BLEU scores) are statistically significant rather than just the result of random variability in the data. They emphasized that traditional metrics, while useful, do not inherently account for sample variation, which can lead to misleading conclusions about system improvements. To address this, the authors proposed the use of bootstrap resampling techniques as a robust method for significance testing. This approach enables researchers to ascertain with greater confidence that observed differences in translation quality are genuine and not artifacts of the evaluation process.

Statistical Machine Translation (SMT). Statistical Machine Translation (SMT) is a method of machine translation that relies on statistical models derived from large bilingual text corpora. In SMT, translation is treated as a probabilistic process where the goal is to find the target sentence that is most likely given the source sentence, based on learned statistical patterns. This approach involves breaking down the text into words or phrases, aligning them between the source and target languages, and using probability distributions to predict the best translation. Although SMT has largely been replaced by Neural Machine Translation in

recent years, it played a pivotal role in the development of automated translation by providing a data-driven way to handle linguistic variability and ambiguity [2]. Reliance on Large Corpora and Statistical Models: Koehn emphasizes that the success of statistical machine translation (SMT) hinges on the availability of extensive parallel corpora and robust probabilistic models. The quality and size of these datasets directly influence the system's ability to capture the nuances of language. Automatic Evaluation Metrics: He discusses the use of metrics such as BLEU, NIST, and TER for evaluating translation quality. These metrics provide a quantitative measure of fluency and adequacy by comparing the machine output to reference translations. However, Koehn also notes that while these metrics are useful for large-scale evaluation, they may not fully capture deeper semantic nuances or context-specific subtleties. Limitations of Statistical Models: According to Koehn, even with significant improvements in statistical methods, SMT systems can struggle with handling complex sentence structures, idiomatic expressions, and cultural references. Such limitations affect the overall accuracy and perceived effectiveness when compared to human translation. The Role of Human Intervention: Koehn argues that human translators remain essential for post-editing machine translation outputs. Human intervention is critical for addressing issues that automated metrics cannot fully assess, such as preserving tone, style, and context. This highlights the potential benefits of a hybrid approach where machine translation is combined with human expertise to achieve better overall results. Balancing Speed and Quality: Ultimately, Koehn's work suggests that while SMT systems offer remarkable speed and scalability, the most effective translation outcomes often require a balance between automated processing and human refinement. This combination can enhance both the accuracy and effectiveness of translations by leveraging the strengths of each approach.

Neural Machine Translation (NMT). Neural Machine Translation (NMT) is an advanced approach to machine translation that uses deep learning techniques and artificial neural networks to translate text from one language to another. Unlike traditional rule-based or statistical methods, NMT processes entire sentences as a whole rather than word by word, allowing it to capture context, syntax, and meaning more effectively. NMT models are trained on large datasets and improve over time, producing translations that are more fluent and natural. This method is widely used in modern translation systems, including Google Translate and Deep L, due to its ability to handle complex linguistic structures and generate high-quality translations.

Terminology Management. In the context of translation refers to the systematic process of identifying, standardizing, and consistently applying specialized terms and vocabulary within a text. This process is crucial for ensuring that technical, industry-specific, or subject-specific language is translated accurately and uniformly. In the context of machine translation, terminology management involves integrating term bases, glossaries, and predefined translation memories into the automated system. This helps ensure that specialized vocabulary is rendered consistently across different texts, reducing the risk of errors and misinterpretations. However, the effectiveness largely depends on the quality and comprehensiveness of the terminology databases used. On the other hand, human translation benefits from the translator's deep knowledge and contextual understanding, allowing them to adapt and manage terminology more flexibly. Skilled translators can decide when to strictly adhere to established terms and when to adjust them to better suit the context or target

audience, thereby balancing consistency with nuance. In summary, effective terminology management is key to achieving high-quality translations by maintaining consistency and accuracy, regardless of whether the translation is performed by a machine or a human.

Expertise and Contextual Understanding. Human translators bring years of training, cultural immersion, and linguistic expertise to their work. They have the ability to: Interpret Context: Understand subtle nuances, humor, and irony that may be lost in literal translation. Maintain Tone and Style: Ensure that the translated text matches the intended tone, whether it be formal, informal, literary, or technical. Adapt Cultural References: Modify idiomatic expressions and cultural references to ensure relevance and comprehension in the target language. Resolve Ambiguity: Analyze ambiguous terms or phrases and select the most appropriate translation based on context and intent.

Consistency. In translation refers to the uniformity in style, tone, terminology, and language usage throughout a text. In the context of machine translation, consistency is often maintained through translation memories, pre-defined glossaries, and automated algorithms that ensure similar phrases and terms are rendered the same way across a document. However, these systems can sometimes struggle with context or creative language, potentially leading to inconsistencies in nuanced texts. Conversely, human translation benefits from the translator's ability to apply contextual judgment and maintain stylistic coherence, even in complex or ambiguous passages. A human translator can make deliberate choices to preserve consistency, ensuring that the translated text accurately reflects the original voice and intent while adapting to cultural and contextual subtleties. Maintaining consistency is crucial for delivering clear and reliable translations that faithfully represent the source material.

Effectiveness of Human Translation. Human translators typically excel in accuracy due to their ability to interpret subtleties: Contextual Relevance: They can adapt language to the context, ensuring that cultural nuances and implied meanings are preserved. Flexibility: Human translators adjust language to suit different audiences, from academic texts to conversational media. Error Correction: Through careful review and revision, human translation minimizes the likelihood of errors that might confuse the reader [3]. Summary of Key Points on the Effectiveness of Human Translation: In her work, House emphasizes that the effectiveness of human translation stems from a translator's ability to perform a comprehensive linguistic and cultural analysis of the source text. According to House, human translators are uniquely capable of discerning and conveying subtle nuances, idiomatic expressions, and stylistic features that automated systems often overlook. This deep contextual understanding enables them to preserve the intended tone and meaning while adapting the text to the target culture. House's model underscores that the dynamic interplay of language, culture, and context in human translation leads to a more nuanced and accurate rendition of the original material, thereby ensuring higher overall effectiveness in communication.

User Satisfaction. In the context of translation refers to the overall contentment of end users with the final translated output. It is measured by how well the translation meets users' expectations in terms of clarity, accuracy, and readability. In comparing machine translation to human translation: Machine Translation: User satisfaction often depends on the speed and cost-effectiveness of the translation. While advances in neural machine translation have improved quality, users may still experience issues with idiomatic expressions, cultural

nuances, or context-specific subtleties, which can reduce satisfaction. Human Translation: Generally, human translators are better at capturing the intended tone, cultural nuances, and context, leading to higher satisfaction in scenarios requiring detailed or creative interpretations. However, the process can be slower and more expensive, which may affect satisfaction in time-sensitive or budget-constrained projects. Ultimately, user satisfaction reflects how effectively a translation method fulfills the needs and expectations of its audience, balancing quality, speed, and contextual appropriateness.

Translation Efficiency. In the context of machine translation versus human translation refers to the capability of a translation process to produce a final, acceptable translation in a timely and cost-effective manner. It encompasses factors such as: Speed: How quickly translations are produced. Machine translation systems can process large volumes of text almost instantaneously, whereas human translation generally takes more time due to the need for careful interpretation and revision. Cost-Effectiveness: The economic aspect of producing translations. Machine translation typically incurs lower costs per word due to automation, while human translation may be more expensive owing to labor intensity. Quality and Post-Editing Requirements: Efficiency is not solely about speed but also about minimizing the amount of subsequent editing needed. High translation efficiency means achieving an optimal balance between speed, cost, and the quality of the output, whether through advanced automated systems or skilled human translators.

Linguistic Analysis. In the context of translation refers to the detailed examination of a text's language elements including syntax, semantics, and pragmatics to ensure that the translated output accurately reflects the original meaning, tone, and context. Syntax: This involves analyzing the structure of sentences, including grammar and word order, to determine how different elements of the text relate to each other. Semantics: This focuses on the meaning of words and phrases. It requires understanding nuances, idiomatic expressions, and the various connotations that words may carry. Pragmatics: This aspect considers the context in which the language is used, including cultural references, implied meanings, and situational factors that influence how the text should be interpreted. In machine translation, linguistic analysis is performed automatically through algorithms, rule-based systems, statistical models, or neural networks. While these systems can process large volumes of text quickly, they sometimes struggle with complex grammatical constructions and subtle cultural or contextual nuances. In human translation, skilled translators apply their deep understanding of both the source and target languages to perform nuanced linguistic analysis. This allows them to capture the subtleties, idiomatic expressions, and cultural contexts that machines might overlook, resulting in a more contextually accurate and natural translation. Thus, linguistic analysis is crucial in both approaches, although human translation generally excels in managing intricate language details and ensuring that the translation resonates with its intended audience.

Post-Editing Machine Translation. In this model, machine translation is used to generate a draft, which is then refined by human translators. This approach offers several benefits: Efficiency: The initial draft is produced rapidly, reducing the overall time required for translation. Quality Enhancement: Human editors correct errors, adjust nuances, and ensure that the final output meets quality standards. Cost-Effectiveness: While it leverages human expertise, post-editing is generally less expensive than full human translation from scratch.

Somers and Dames investigate the cognitive effort involved in post-editing machine translation outputs. They argue that while post-editing can significantly speed up the translation process, it also demands considerable mental effort from translators. Their findings indicate that the quality of the initial machine translation plays a crucial role; lower-quality outputs require more intensive post-editing, thereby increasing cognitive load. The study highlights that experienced translators can manage this effort more efficiently, suggesting that expertise is a key factor in successful post-editing. Additionally, the authors stress the importance of enhancing machine translation quality and developing supportive post-editing tools to reduce the cognitive strain on human translators, ultimately balancing efficiency with translation quality [4].

Translation Efficiency. In the context of machine translation versus human translation refers to the capability of a translation process to produce a final, acceptable translation in a timely and cost-effective manner. It encompasses factors such as: Speed: How quickly translations are produced. Machine translation systems can process large volumes of text almost instantaneously, whereas human translation generally takes more time due to the need for careful interpretation and revision. Cost-Effectiveness: The economic aspect of producing translations. Machine translation typically incurs lower costs per word due to automation, while human translation may be more expensive owing to labor intensity. Quality and Post-Editing Requirements: Efficiency is not solely about speed but also about minimizing the amount of subsequent editing needed. High translation efficiency means achieving an optimal balance between speed, cost, and the quality of the output, whether through advanced automated systems or skilled human translators. Thus, accurately translating cultural nuance is key to preserving the intended meaning and impact of the original text [1]. In this work, Bowker examines the impact of computer-assisted translation (CAT) tools on enhancing translation efficiency. He emphasizes that the integration of translation memory systems, terminology management, and automated quality assurance significantly reduces the time and effort required for translation tasks. Bowker argues that by automating repetitive tasks and ensuring consistency, these technologies allow human translators to focus on more complex, context-sensitive aspects of the text. This leads to faster turnaround times and improved overall productivity, effectively balancing the need for speed with the demand for high-quality translations.

The evaluation of translation accuracy and effectiveness between machine translation and human translation revealed several key findings: Machine Translation: Modern neural machine translation systems have significantly improved in terms of speed, fluency, and overall consistency. They perform well on straightforward texts and are highly efficient for large-scale or time-sensitive projects. However, these systems still encounter challenges when processing complex, context-dependent content. They often struggle with capturing idiomatic expressions, cultural nuances, and subtle contextual cues, which can lead to errors in meaning or tone. Human Translation: Human translators consistently deliver higher accuracy in handling intricate language elements. Their ability to interpret context, manage cultural subtleties, and address ambiguous phrasing ensures that the intended meaning and style of the original text are preserved. Although human translation is more time-consuming and resource-intensive, it is particularly valuable for high-stakes, specialized, or creative content where precision is critical. Overall Effectiveness: While machine translation excels in

efficiency and scalability, human translation remains superior in terms of depth, nuance, and contextual fidelity. The findings suggest that a hybrid approach where machine translation is used for initial drafts and human translators refine and contextualize the output can provide an optimal balance between speed and quality. In summary, integrating the strengths of both machine and human translation can lead to more accurate and effective translation outcomes, ensuring that both technical efficiency and contextual integrity are maintained.

Conclusion

The wrangle about between machine interpretation and human interpretation centers on the adjust between effectiveness and quality. Machine interpretation has made critical strides, particularly with the coming of neural systems, giving fast, cost-effective, and adaptable arrangements for numerous regular errands. In any case, when it comes to capturing inconspicuous subtleties, social setting, and guaranteeing high-quality interpretations in complex writings, human interpreters stay unmatched. Half breed models that combine the qualities of both strategies offer a promising way forward. By leveraging the speed of machine interpretation and the observing judgment of human specialists, organizations can accomplish interpretations that are both precise and compelling. As innovation proceeds to progress, the cooperative energy between machine and human interpretation is likely to gotten to be indeed more fundamentally to assembly the differing requests of worldwide communication. Eventually, the choice between machine and human interpretation depends on the particular needs of the extend whether speed and volume or nuanced quality and social affectability are the need. By carefully assessing these variables, partners can select the foremost fitting interpretation strategy to bridge dialect obstructions in our progressively interconnected world.

References:
Используемая литература:
Foydalanilgan adabiyotlar:

1. Bowker, L. “Computer-Aided Translation Technology: A Practical Introduction”. University of Massachusetts Amherst. 2002.
2. House, J. “A Model for Translation Quality Assessment”. Tübingen: Gunter Narr Verlag, 1977.
3. Koehn, P. “Statistical Machine Translation. Cambridge”. Cambridge University Press, 2010
4. Somers, H., & Dames, J. “Cognitive Effort in Post-Editing Machine Translation Output: A Case Study”. In Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Machine Translation in the Americas 2010, pp.125–132.
5. Specia, L., Turchi, M., Cancedda, N., & Bertoldi, N. “Statistical Significance Tests for Machine Translation Evaluation”. In Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Computational Linguistics, 2008.
6. Yuldasheva, O. O. (2025). MODERN TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE. *PEDAGOG*, *8*(1), 187-190.
7. Abdurasulov J. и Pardabayeva , M. 2024. MUSOBAQADAN OLDIN SPORTCHILARNI PSIXOLOGIK TAYYORLASH. *Евразийский журнал социальных наук, философии и культуры*. 4, 6 Part 2 (июн. 2024), 73–76.

8. Sharipova, N. (2023). PHONETICS: UNLOCKING THE SOUNDS OF LANGUAGE. В ZDIT (Т. 2, Выпуск 27, сс. 22–24). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10321056
9. Турсунов А. 2022 ЧАҚИРУВГА ҚАДАР БОШЛАНҒИЧ ТАЙЁРГАРЛИК МАШҒУЛОТЛАРИДА ПЕДАГОГИК ВА ИННОВАЦИОН ТЕХНОЛОГИЯЛАРНИ ҚЎЛЛАШ ВА УЛАРНИНГ ЎЗИГА ХОС ТОМОНЛАРИ Science and innovation, 1(B3), 432- 434
10. Sharipova Nodira Shavkat Qizi, . (2024). TEACHING ENGLISH TO YOUNG LEARNERS USING CLIL METHOD IN CLASS. International Journal of Pedagogics, 4(06), 161–164. https://doi.org/10.37547/ijp/Volume04Issue06-29 .
11. Abdurasulov, J. (2024). PEDAGOGICAL PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF MILITARY PATRIOTIC EDUCATION IN GENERAL SECONDARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS. В INTERNATIONAL BULLETIN OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (Т. 4, Выпуск 7, сс. 38–40). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12721051
12. Abdurasulov J. 2024. HARBIY PEDAGOGIKANING BOSHQA FANLAR BILAN ALOQASI. *Молодые ученые*. 2, 6 (фев. 2024), 48–52.
13. Jo‘rayev , S. ., & Abdurasulov, J. (2024). SUBJECT, TASKS AND CONTENT OF STUDYING THE BASICS OF MILITARY-PATRIOTIC EDUCATION. Академические исследования в современной науке, 3(7), 149–153.извлечено.
14. Абдурасулов, Ж. (2022). ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫХТЕХНОЛОГИЙ В УСВОЕНИИ УРОКОВ ИСТОРИИ.
15. Abduqodirova, D. и Abdurasulov, J. 2025. YOSHLARDA HARBIY-VATANPARVARLIK TUYG‘USINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING PSIXOLOGIK HUSUSIYATLARI. *Педагогика и психология в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования*. 4, 1 (янв. 2025), 50–53.
16. Жўраев , Ш. ., & Абдурасулов , Ж. (2024). ҲАРБИЙ ЖАМОАДАГИ ИЖТИМОИЙ ФИКР. Журнал академических исследований нового Узбекистана, 1(2), 97–103. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/yoitj/article/view/28151.
17. Abdurasulov , J. (2022). HARBIY KOMPETENTSIYA KURSANTNING KASBIY MAHORATINI 54 SHAKLLANTIRISHNING KALITI SIFATIDA. Евразийский журнал социальных наук, философии и культуры, 2(11), 231–234. извлечено от https://inacademy.uz/index.php/ejsspc/article/view/5326 17.
18. Abdurasulov , J. 2022. CHAQIRUVGA QADAR BOSHLANG’ICH TAYYORGARLIK FANI O’QITUVCHISIGA QO’YILADIGAN TALABLAR. *Евразийский журнал академических исследований*. 2, 11 (окт. 2022), 983–988.
19. Абдурасулов , Ж. (2024). ҲАРБИЙ ЖАМОАНИНГ ПСИХОЛОГИК АСПЕКТЛАРИ. Бюллетень педагогов нового Узбекистана, 2(2), 59–65
20. Abduqodirova Dilobarbonu Erkinjon Qizi TALABALARDA PSIXOLOGIK STRESSNI YENGISH // YOPA. 2025. №2. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/talabalarda-psixologik-stressni-yengish (дата обращения: 02.04.2025).
21. K.O. Arzibayev, V.Sh.Rahimov Effects On Performance By Electronic Training Equipment For Young Karatists. «Psychologi and education» Journal (2021) 58(2): 11488-11490 ISSN: 00333077
22. K.O. Arzibayev, V.Sh.Rahimov Influence of Basketball on the Mental and Physical Development of the Personality. «International Journal of Future of Generation Communication and Networking » Vol. 14. No. 1. (2021). pp. : 768-772 ISSN: 2233-7857

IJFGCN
23. Arzibaev, K. O., & Rafiqov, A. U. (2021). THE ROLE OF MATHEMATICS IN SPORTS. In АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ УСЛОВИЯХ (pp. 5-8).
24. Arzibaev, K. O., & Tanikulova, Z. R. (2021). MECHANISMS FOR IMPROVING THE LEGAL KNOWLEDGE OF ATHLETES. In АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ УСЛОВИЯХ (pp. 117-119).
25. Arzibaev, K. O., & Elmurodova, M. U. (2021). DEVELOPMENT IN SPORTS USING UNIQUE TECHNIQUES. In АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ УСЛОВИЯХ (pp. 3-5).
26. ARZIBAYEV, Q. (2024). O ‘SMIR SPORTCHILARDA MAQSADGA ERISHISH MOTIVATSIYASI TADQIQI. News of the NUUz, 1(1.9), 54-57.
27. Арзибаев, К. О. (2015). РОЛЬ МОТИВАЦИИ В ЕДИНОБОРСТВЕ. Наука и мир, (3-3), 103-105.
28. Арзибаев, К. О., & Аралов, С. А. (2016). КОНСТИТУЦИОННЫЕ ОСНОВЫ ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ В УЗБЕКИСТАНЕ. Наука и мир, 3(1), 53-54.
29. Арзибаев, Қ. О., & Акбарова, Д. Р. (2016). Проблема здорового образа жизни в исследованиях. Наука и мир, (3-3), 77-78.
30. Арзибаев, К. О. (2013). МОТИВАЦИЯ ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ КАК ВАЖНЫЙ ФАКТОР СПОРТИВНОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ. SCIENCE AND WORLD, 93.
31. Арзибаев, К., & Бердалиева, Ю. (2013). ВЛИЯНИЕ МЕЖЛИЧНОСТНЫХ ОТНОШЕНИЙ НА МОТИВАЦИЮ ЮНЫХ СПОРТСМЕНОВ. SCIENCE AND WORLD, 14.
32. Arzibayev, Q. (2023). THE PROBLEM OF MOTIVATION OF SPORT ACTIVITY IN THE ANALYSIS. European journal of education and applied psychology, (2), 82-89.
33. Arzibayev, Q. (2023). THE APPEARANCE OF MOTIVES FOR SPORTS ACTIVITY IN ANALYSIS. Modern Science and Research, 2(10), 694-704.
34. Arzibayev, K. O., & Aralov, S. A. (2016). Physical culture and social importance of sport in educating the young by the principles National Concept. In Scientific achievements of the third millennium (pp. 23-24).
35. Arzibayev, Q. (2023). O ‘smir sportchilarda sport bilan shug ‘ullanish motivlarini o‘rganish. Sport ilm-fanining dolzarb muammolari, 1(3), 18-25.